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MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Martin. Present.

Trustee Fortuna.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Reshma Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Holt.

MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee McPhillips.

Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

We have a quorum. We can begin, Mr.

President.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank You. We have a quorum.

I need to make some remarks this morning.
Public Act 101-0646 allows this meeting to be conducted by audio and video conference. The Act requires a roll call vote on each matter acted on.

Further, consistent with Public Act 101-0640, for the record, I am physically present at the Fund's office as is Executive Director

Swanson.

We are proceeding by video conference because we continue to believe that due to the pandemic it is prudent to not be physically present in the same space.

We have posted notice of this meeting in accordance with the Open Meetings Act and the meeting is being recorded. A transcript of the proceedings will be prepared and ultimately after approval will be made available to the public on the Fund's website.

Thank you.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, consistent with Public Act 91-0715 and reasonable constraints determined by the Board of Trustees at each Regular Meeting of the Board and its committees that are open to the public, members of the public may request a brief time to address the Board on relevant matters within its jurisdiction.

Are there any members of the public that wish to comment today?

Hearing none, we will move on.

First we will turn to the Approval of Administrative Items. Approval of Minutes of the

```
regular audio meeting of August 21, 2020 and the
regular audio meeting transcript of August 21,
2020. I make a motion to approve the Minutes and
the transcript of the August 21 meeting. Is there
a second?
```

    MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Second.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion and a
    second by Trustee Holt.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I was wondering
when you were going to call me. I'm here. Good
morning. Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: I'm sorry. I just saw
you in the corner. Good morning.
Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, Item B,
the Minimum Formula Annuities.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Hold on. Let the

```
record reflect that Trustee Conyears-Ervin is now
on our video and we have a motion and a second and
hearing no one opposed motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, under Item
B, Minimum Formula Annuities. I make a motion to
approve the Minimum Formula Annuities starting with
Member 11474 and ending with Member 16212. Is
there a second?
                    MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
                    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Second by Trustee Murphy.
                    Trustee Martin.
                    MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
                    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
                    MEMBER SONI: Yes.
                    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
    MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
    MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
    MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
    Motion carries.
```

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Good morning. Sorry I am late.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Let the record reflect that Trustee McPhillips is now on video.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Can $I$ ask what motion just passed?

MEMBER MARTIN: That would be Items A and B, that would be the approval of the Minutes from August 21, 2020 and the Minimum Formula Annuities.

Moving to Item $C$, if that is okay, the Survivor Annuities. Widow's and Children's Annuities.

Mr. President, I make a motion to approve the Widow's Annuities starting with Member 07940 through Member 11431.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Is there a second?
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Second.
MEMBER MARTIN: Motion to approve by
Trustee Martin. Second by Trustee Holt.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on to Refunds. I make a motion to approve the Refunds beginning with Member 16745 and continuing through to Member 19667.

MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Soni.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips. MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.

MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.

Motion carries.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on
to Item E, Death Benefits. I move to approve the death benefits starting with Member 07940 continuing through to Member 10486 .

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Second.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee

Martin and seconded by Trustee McPhillips.

Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
The motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on to Item F, Partial Payments. I would like to make a motion to approve the Partial Payments beginning with Member 07940 and continuing through to Member 11431.

MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Second.
MEMBER MARTIN: There is a motion by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Holt.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.

MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on
to Benefit Recalculations. Mr. President, there are three Refund Errors in Deductions. I move to approve the Benefit Recalculations for Member 14200 through Member 15413.

MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Soni.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes. The motion carries.

MEMBER MARTIN: Moving to Item $H$, Request for Permission for Guardianship. There is one guardianship for Member 08969, widow Sabina Ciszek by her son. Staff confirms that the doctor's letter and Power of Attorney is on file. I make a motion to approve the guardianship, Mr . President.

MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to approve by Trustee Martin. Second by Trustee Holt.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.

MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
Motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, there are also three requests to reside out of state for Member 17250, Member 17182, and Member 16451. I make a motion to approve.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion to
approve by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee McPhillips.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on
to Removals. I move to approve the Removals starting with Edward F. Burgess and ending with Nico Giuffre. Mr. President, $I$ make the motion. MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion for Removals by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, under
Request for Approval of Payments Pursuant to Administrative and Court Orders, I move that the administrative court orders pertaining to Members 011116 through 014796 be approved.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion for approval by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Conyears-Ervin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
Motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I don't know, do we have Yll Kacija on the phone?

MR. TORRES: I haven't gotten a call yet from the number I received. It hasn't shown up yet.

MEMBER MARTIN: We will go out of the regular order of business and move forward until we have contact from him.

Moving on to the next item, which would
be approval of Board Physician Reviews of Disability Recipients. Mr. President, I move to approve the Board Physician's recommendations.

MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to approve by
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni. MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I'd like to skip Item 6 because there may be some extensive involvement with respect to investments so $I$ would like to move forward to Expenditures.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Please do.
MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to move to
approve the Administrative Expenses as presented.
MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee Martin for approval. Seconded by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
roll call.

MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like turn it over to the Executive Director Steve Swanson for his report.

MR. SWANSON: Thank you. I will go through it fairly quickly.

The first item we have is we did a RFP for auditing services. Jackie, our Comptroller, was kind enough to put together a quick summary for everyone.

There was five responses. We had one MWDBE vendor that responded. We will be looking at recommending some finalists to bring in front of the Board. So we will recommend the finalists next
month, see if that meets the Board's approval, and then we would look to bring the finalists in to present to the Board come November.

Hopefully, we can get this wrapped up so we are good to go at the beginning of the year to start our next financial reporting period.

The next item $I$ have is the Illinois Economic Opportunity Report. We are required by the Pension Code to file this every year by August 31st. We have done that.

It lists the vendors that we use within the State of Illinois and also our investments that impact that.

I did work directly with Lorna Scott, our CIO, to make sure that that was put together and Lorna was extremely helpful and also Jackie and John in terms of the accounting with the vendors as well. They were very helpful in putting that together.

The last item we have is there is another trustee education opportunity with Callan regarding private equity on October 7th. So if you are interested, you can sign up. If you need more information, feel free to reach out to myself or

Lorna.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Did we find out how many hours that was?

MR. SWANSON: That I have not found out yet. Lorna, do you know, or Brady? We do have Brady here actually from Callan, if he knows how many hours that is because it was not on the flier.

MR. O'CONNELL: I think it is about a hour or hour and a half but $I$ can confirm and maybe circle back during the investment section.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: That would be fine.

TRUSTEE VALENCIA: This is Clerk
Valencia. Sorry, $I$ am on now.
That would be great because $I$ don't know, Steve, where my hours are for the year. Or, actually, $I$ will follow up with you, Steve, separately to check that.

MR. SWANSON: I believe you did some training earlier in the year but we will double check that.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Okay, thanks.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Let the record reflect that Trustee Valencia is now on our video and audio
call.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: You're welcome.

MEMBER MARTIN: Steve, do you want to
move to Craig Goesel's report on the fiduciary insurance?

MR. SWANSON: Yes, we could move into that.

MEMBER MARTIN: We can probably do that now. It is listed as Item 8.

MR. GOESEL: Forgive the background noise, $I$ am on the tarmac on an airplane so $I$ wil make this quick.

For better or for worse, those terms we had talked about in August from the insurance carriers are off the table.

Now the good news for the Firefighter's Fund is that the terms that were quoted by Ullico in August frankly were significantly restricted coverage for not only the Fund and the trustees.

The reason $I$ say fortunately for the Trustees in that we were able to go back out to market and secure much better coverage from Euclid, not Ullico, moving to Euclid, that actually
reserves and protects the same coverage for the personal liability, possible personal obligations, of the trustees.

So $I$ had sent around a revised proposal to both the Executive Director and counsel this week and it better summarizes $I$ think where the insurance basically starts and stops with regard to not only plan liability, but probably more importantly personal liability to trustees liability.

Our recommendation is going to be to move to Euclid as the primary carrier as they are not restricting the coverage for personal liability of the trustees. Albeit they are restricting coverage for the plan liability associated with funding deficiencies.

As $I$ had mentioned, the insurance marketplace took extreme exception to the funding deficiencies of the four Chicago funds, most notably the Chicago Firefighter Fund.

And the insurance carrier, if you look on Slide 13, $I$ do a comparison of really the only two major differences between the options and they are relatively major differences.
On Slide 13, we show two insurance
provisions. A failure to fund claim and a legal
challenges claim.

As you can see in the first column, you historically had 10 million dollars of limit available for defense of those types of allegations, for those types of claims, from the public at large, the beneficiaries, et cetera.

Ullico restricted that coverage downward to only 4 million dollars of coverage whether it is plan liability or personal liability, that is a big exception as we had talked about three weeks ago.

Euclid is able to provide that 10 million dollars of liability for the personal liability that might be imposed upon individual trustees.

MEMBER MARTIN: Craig, I do have a quick question on this. The only question $I$ have, Craig, with respect to the whole funding issue, with that concern of the insurance carrier, was it explained to them thoroughly about the City's increased commitment in contributions moving forward? You know, the change in the statute and that we are moving from this old archaic multiplier method to an actuarial funding moving forward?

MR. GOESEL: Indeed, Trustee Martin.
They have been intimately aware and frankly that is one of the reasons we have been able to get any coverage for defense of that type of claim vis-a-vis the plan.

Without it, frankly, I think the insurance carriers would have provided us zero coverage for a failure to fund deficiency claim vis-à-vis the plan liability.

But, yes, Trustee Martin, that was explained and discussed and $I$ think they have a full understanding of it.

MEMBER MARTIN: Based on your discussions and maybe Mary Pat can speak to this -- Mary Pat, are you now comfortable with the recommendation of our broker?

MS. BURNS: Thank you, Trustee Martin.
I am not comfortable with what the insurance companies are doing. I think that after years of taking premiums from the Fund they should be stepping up.

I do agree with Alliant's recommendation that the Euclid policy is better for the Fund and the Trustees than the Ullico policy.

I am not thrilled with the changes. I think those deductibles are extremely high and put the Fund at-risk, more risk than it was before. But my analysis is that the Fund would be indemnifying you anyway so it is not prohibition on you buying this coverage.

Do $I$ think that in the future if this coverage gets lower or more restrictions get put into the policies in terms of what types of liabilities are covered, that $I$ am not going to support purchasing this insurance. I have told Craig that.

We aren't going to buy coverage that doesn't exist. This year, this policy, what Craig is recommending is better than nothing but it is not great.

I am comfortable that this presentation accurately reflects the policy that is being offered as opposed to the last meeting where it was a little more convoluted and I appreciate Craig putting these slides together so trustees could actually see the differences.

The second factor, Trustee Martin, that made me comfortable is at the last fire fund
meeting there was some statement as to whether the coverage was going to differ for the Police and Fire Funds versus the Muni and Laborers Fund and Craig has represented to us that that is not the case.

So that when $I$ have a trustee that sits on more than one fund, they are not going to be exposed. They are going to have the same coverage, assuming the plans pick coverage and engage coverage, they will be covered in the same manner.

The last thing $I$ am concerned about, just so you understand and there is nothing we can do about it, is that in the past $I$ have always told you that when you cease being a trustee, $I$ was very comfortable because our fiduciary policy was the same year after year. Craig always maintained the policy so that it covers former trustees.

Now if you are a former trustee, let's just pick Mike Shanahan, for instance, he would think in his head that for the remainder of his statute of limitations on the claims that may have arose during his term as a trustee, that he would have 10 million dollars of coverage and now he does not so that is a big issue if you're a trustee.

But we did explore this topic with Craig, Steve and I, and asked if there was any way we can procure what is called occurrence insurance, that would protect trustees once they left the Board, if the act occurred during their tenure. Craig said such coverage is not available and if it was available it would be cost prohibitive.

Again, all those factors lead me to conclude that you should rely on your broker, he's an expert, and he is telling you that this is the best fiduciary insurance coverage that can be obtained at this time for a prudent cost.

I think we need to look at this issue more generally. We don't need to talk about this with other people on the phone. We should do it in closed session. But $I$ do think that you should, as prudent trustees, explore other options and ways that we can protect you as trustees from serving in this role at a time when the economics are not conducive for serving as a trustee.

MR. GOESEL: If I may jump in with one clarifying statement, that past trustee that Mary Pat was drawing a parallel to, everything counsel has said is accurate. But if they are being
personally liable, they still do have that 10 million dollar limit available to them as of now.

I agree with counsel, if the terms change in the future, we might have to revisit or not buy the coverage. I totally agree with that.

As of these terms, their personal
liability, if deemed personally obligated, would still have the 10 million dollars available to them.

MS. BURNS: Thanks for that clarification, that helps.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Mary Pat, can I ask a quick question?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Can you elaborate
when you say you wish the insurance companies would step-up, what do you mean by that?

MS. BURNS: It's just that $I$ think that if we looked at it over time as to how much money was being paid to Ullico over time for this insurance, that now when you approach a period of time where due to the pandemic, due to other challenges the City is facing, where there really may be a claim against the trustee or it is very
possible that there would be a claim, that they are not allowing you to have the coverage that you have sort of paid for over the years.

Further, as Craig knows, I am a little upset that Ullico made a recommendation or offered insurance to the Cook County Fund that is a lot better than the coverage they were offering the City plans and it is for the reason that Craig mentioned.

But when they wanted the Forest Preserve and the Cook County Fund to be with the City plans for premium purposes so that they could bid and get a better price, then they were saying we will treat you all the same. We will put you into one big bucket and here we are now in that same bucket alledgedly and yet Cook County got the same coverage they had last year and their fee went up 2.3 percent $I$ think for its coverage and $I$ do think it is 15 million dollars of coverage. And now they are telling you we are only giving you City funds 10 million dollars of coverage and your premiums are going up approximately 32 to 35 percent. I don't find that fair.

So that is my complaint with Ullico but
it is not the brokers. The brokers can only do what they can do.

Ullico, as a company, $I$ don't know if $I$ would recommend you ever use them again.

MEMBER SONI: I just want to make sure that $I$ understood that point that Craig made before. What is the difference between Cook County and our fund or any City fund, let's say, that would not give us the same provisions as Cook County?

MR. GOESEL: I think what Mary Pat is referencing, they, they the insurance carrier, the lead insurance carrier, is not putting a funding deficiency restriction upon the Cook County Fund. Again, personal liability, it is the same extension of coverage for your own personal liability trustees, but for the plan this carrier is implementing a 4 million dollar cap on defense costs associated with a funding deficiency, again, if it is the plan's obligation. For Cook County, no such restriction exists.

Now note that $I$ think that fund is about 55 or 60 percent funded to compared to sub 20. They are paying more premiums clearly. But to Mary

Pat's point it is an issue.
MEMBER SONI: Craig, to the best of your knowledge or your background, do you think the fact that they have a higher funding ratio and of course paying the higher premium is what is giving them that ability that is not being offered to us?

MR. GOESEL: Yes, I think that is a lot of that. Not only that but $I$ think the insurance carriers are also concerned about headline risk associated with Chicago and the possible tax base and where things are heading with regard to Chicago.

> Is it a little bit of a knee jerk
reaction? Without question. But it is a knee jerk reaction being felt by the entire insurance marketplace, not just Ullico. Euclid. AIG pulled out of the market.

Unfortunately, we are just sort of dealing with these very uncertain times and what the insurance world looks at, how the insurance world looks at, these four Chicago funds and those that are tied to a headline risk like Chicago right now.

MEMBER MARTIN: Reshma, are you
comfortable with that now?
MEMBER SONI: Yes. Thank you, Craig.
MEMBER MARTIN: What I'd like to do then is I am going to try to do a combined motion here so we can do one roll call vote.

I would like to make a motion to rescind the August 21, 2020 action of the Board authorizing the renewal of the fiduciary liability insurance policy and accept the recommendation of the Fund's insurance broker to enter into a policy of fiduciary insurance with Euclid, Option 7 in the presented materials, subject to documentation reviewed and approved by the Executive Director and Fund counsel. Do I have a second?

MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Mary Pat, is that good?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER MARTIN: She may have left.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries.
MR. GOESEL: Thank you, Trustees. Good luck.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I would like to move to the Ordinary Disability application of EMT Firefighter Yll Kacija.

Firefighter Kacija, are you on the line?
MR. KACIJA: Yes, sir.
MEMBER MARTIN: Given that we have
everybody in the room that we need, we're going to move to Item 4, which is the Ordinary Disability application for Firefighter Yll Kacija, EMT

Firefighter, Engine 32.
Firefighter Kacija, the Fund's attorney Vince Pinelli is going to be conducting this hearing and we'll just move from there. Vince.

MR. PINELLI: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Good morning, Mr. Kacija.

As you have been told, I am the attorney for the Fund that will be conducting this hearing.

For the record, I'd like to advise you of some preliminary matters before we start evidence.

First of all, there are seven trustees currently in the meeting to hear the evidence on your application. You should know if you don't know already that it would take the yes vote of least five of those seven trustees in order to receive this benefit pursuant to the Pension Code. Do you understand that?

MR. KACIJA: Yes, I do.
MR. PINELLI: In addition, you are proceeding without an attorney today. Is it your desire to proceed without an attorney?

MR. KACIJA: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: And, lastly, it is the applicant's burden of proof to present sufficient
evidence to the Board in order for the Board to grant the benefit that the applicant is seeking. Do you understand it is your burden of proof? MR. KACIJA: Yes.

MR. PINELLI: By way of procedures, I am going to start by asking you some questions under oath. The board members may or may not have questions of you. Then $I$ will call the Fund's physician consultant Doctor Peters to testify. If there is anything $I$ don't ask him that you think is important for the Board to know, let me know and you will be given that opportunity. Do you understand the procedures?

MR. KACIJA: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Then we are ready to
proceed. Mr. Kacija and Doctor Peters, please, raise your right hands.
(Witness sworn.)
YLL KACIJA
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION
BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Could you please state and spell your
first and last name for the record?
A Yll, Y-l-l, Kacija, K-a-c-i-j-a.
Q What is your rank with the Fire
Department?
A Firefighter EMT.
Q What was your last assignment before you went on layup?

A Engine 32.
Q Sir, you should have been provided with a group of exhibits from the staff that have been marked as Board Exhibits 1 through 12 prior to today's hearings. Did you get those exhibits?

A Yes.
Q Did you have an opportunity to review them?

A I did.

Q Do you have any objection to their admission into the record in support of your application?

A No.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
Mr. Chairman, I move for admission of Board Exhibits 1 through 12, without objection from the applicant.
$\square$
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Admitted without
objection.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
(Board Exhibits 1 through 12
were admitted into evidence.)
BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Now, sir, you entered service with the Fire Department in what year?

A $\quad 2013$.

Q Approximately how many years of service have you completed to-date?

A About seven.
Q You are applying for this disability benefit based upon a medical condition that you are currently experiencing; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Just briefly describe for the board members what that condition is and what you are currently experiencing with respect to that.

A Just acute lower back pain. A lot of shooting, burning and stinging pains kind of starting at the lower back going down the butt and causing a lot of burning and stinging in the legs. A lot of weakness and pain going down there
throughout the day.
Q Sir, this condition that you experience did you experience the condition as a result of activities while you were on-duty or did you experience it while you were off-duty?

A I did experience it off-duty. I had some chronic conditions but when $I$ laid up off-duty it kind of went into acute pain and never really -you know, $I$ was never really able to alleviate that so I have been experiencing acute pain since my layup.

Q Are you currently under treatment by a physician for your back condition?

A No, I am not. I am just doing selfexercises and just conservative treatment. Ibuprofen. Anti-inflammatories.

Q Okay. Since you went on layup have you engaged in any activity by which you earn income or money?

A No. No.

Q Have you engaged in any sporting activities?

A No.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, sir. That is
all the questions $I$ have of you at this time.
MR. KACIJA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any
questions?
No questions.
MR. PINELLI: I would call Doctor Peters to testify.
(Witness previously sworn.)
MICHAEL I. PETERS, M.D.
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

## BY MR. PINELLI:

Q Sir please state your name.
A Michael I. Peters.
Q You're a physician; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Is a copy of your qualifications as a
physician attached to the Board Exhibits?
A Yes.

Q Do you perform a function as a consultant to this fund?

A Yes, I do.
Q In that capacity do you review medical
records, examine and/or interview applicants and report to the Board?

A Yes.
Q Did you follow that procedure with respect to this applicant?

A Yes, I did.
Q And did you file a written report with the Board that is marked as Board Exhibit Number 2?

A Yes.
Q Doctor, could you briefly summarize for us what the applicant's condition is at the current time?

A Yes, I can. Mr. Kacija first presented for evaluation of ongoing lower back pain in August of 2019. He had a MRI that showed that he had diffuse disc disease primarily in the $\mathrm{L}-4 / 5$ area where he had posterior lateral protrusion. He underwent an EMG to look for nerve impingements and that was negative. He had physical therapy without improvement. He had an epidural steroid injection in the spine, which did not help him at all, and he had two radiofrequency nerve ablations in the $\mathrm{L}-4 / 5$ area bilaterally that did not improve his symptoms.

At the time of my phone interview with
him, he reported that he was having nearly constant symptoms related to the chronic low back pain with burning and tightness going into his buttocks and down his legs. Especially with any prolonged position and in any one position so prolonged standing or sitting. He would tend to have to move around otherwise his symptoms would get severe.

Q Doctor, did you interview the applicant?
A I interviewed him by telephone due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Q Did the information that he provided you regarding his history and his treatment and his current condition was it consistent with the medical records that you have reviewed in this matter?

A Yes, it was.
Q Doctor, was there also an indication in the records that the doctors who have examined and treated him are not recommending any surgical procedure as an option for him to engage in?

A That's correct. The pain specialist he had most recently been evaluated by, which I think as I recall was a telemedicine interview, concluded it was likely myofascial in its cause and did not
advise surgery.
Q Based upon the symptoms he's currently experiencing, would he be able to perform his duties with the Fire Department?

A The symptoms he's describing would make it hard for him to do his duties, full-time duties, as a firefighter.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, doctor, that is all the questions $I$ have at this time.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any questions for the doctor?

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I have one question, doctor. I am trying to understand this. You said there was no form of treatment? I am not saying those were your exact words. I am trying to understand the form of treatment.

DOCTOR PETERS: Yes, that is a good question. His specialists had not advised surgical treatment for this pain is what $I$ mean to say. He's already exhausted at least the interventional conservative treatments like steroid injection and nerve ablation have already been performed on him.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: And so I am just wondering so how long does this continue? This
could go on. I don't really understand this. I am just trying to wrap my mind around it. So it is oral medication and is there any thoughts on when he may be well? Is it possible to be well from this?

DOCTOR PETERS: I don't think there is a definite cause or etiology determined for why he has his symptoms. The MRI findings -- while MRI finding don't always correlate well with the symptoms people have. In other words, you could have significant findings and have minimal symptoms. You can have almost no findings and have terrible radicular symptoms.

His MRI and EMG don't support a nerve impingement cause. In addition to that, he had an ablation which didn't improve him at all. So his pain specialist concluded this was likely myofascial, meaning a muscular problem perhaps impinging on a peripheral nerve.

The answer to your question is he could get better with the right kind of therapy but it's hard to predict whether that would actually happen. MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Is he in therapy? DOCTOR PETERS: He's not in formal
therapy at present. I think he said he was doing home exercises.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Is it possible for us to go into executive session? How do I a motion? What do I say, Mary Pat?

MEMBER TRUSTEE: I will make a motion to move to executive session.

MR. PINELLI: Pursuant to Section $2(c) 4$ of the Open Meetings Act. So you need a motion and second.

MEMBER MARTIN: I will make that motion.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion by
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Conyears-Ervin.
Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia. MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes. (Whereupon, the Board went into executive session off the record. No action was taken in Executive Session.)

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, now that we are out of executive session, $I$ will make a motion to grant.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Second.
Motion to grant by Trustee Martin.
Seconded by Trustee McPhillips.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni. MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips. MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to make a motion for reexam consistent with the Fund's reexamination policy.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion for
reexam. Seconded by Trustee Conyears-Ervin.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I'd like to make a motion to accept the Finding of Fact as presented by Fund's counsel.

MEMBER SONI: Second.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion by
Trustee Martin to accept the Findings of Fact.

Seconded by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.

Mr. Kacija, based on the evidence we have
heard and considered, the Trustees have voted to
grant the benefit that you have requested.
You will notified by mail of the Findings of Fact and the Board's decision.

Thank you, very much, and good luck.
MR. KACIJA: Thank you.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I am going to ask what your preference is. We have three items left. We have Investment, Legal and the election item.

Do you want to go on to Legal and give Mary Pat the opportunity to provide that update before we move to Investments, which may be more involved?

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: We will do that. Thank you.

MS. BURNS: It will be quick. There is no legal update.

MEMBER MARTIN: Motion to accept.
MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to accept by
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Soni. All those in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank you, Mary Pat.
$\square$
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, we can do this election one pretty quick, which is a consideration of possible action regarding the Election Blind Mailing Policy. I think Steve has presented that to everybody.

MS. BURNS: Maybe for the record I can state that as everybody probably knows, if they have looked at their emails, there are three candidates for the open election that is scheduled to take place later this month. Of the three candidates, Steve reached out to them and asked them all if they wanted to make a candidate statement.

As you know from your election rules that you adopted previously, the rules provide that any distribution or communication has to be sent pursuant to the Blind Mailing Policy. have received one such request, that request was posted on board portal. Consistent with the election rules, we would need a motion to approve the Blind Mailing Policy consistent with the election rules for 2020.

MEMBER MURPHY: So move.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Second.
$\square$
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee Murphy. Seconded by Trustee Valencia.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Holt.
MEMBER NANCE-HOLT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries.
MS. BURNS: Thank you.
MEMBER MARTIN: Thank you, Mary Pat.
We can move on to the Investment section
now with Lorna. Lorna, it is all yours.
MS. SCOTT: The first item is the
investment report for September.
So let's just start with an update on where we stand with our new investments. There is only one outstanding and that is Highclere and their contracts are still pending.

Moving on to the next slide, which is performance. This slide shows the one month performance for August for various asset classes.

It was another very strong month for investors. U.S. equities dominated. The broad market is up 7. 2 percent. Within U.S. equities, it was clearly U.S. growth stocks that were a winner and they were up 10.1 percent. They outperformed value stocks which were up 4.2. Large cap up 7.3 which is up.

The next slide looks at the same asset classes for the year-to-date period. So year-to-date through August, the broad U.S. equity markets are showing strong performance up 9.4 percent and that is primarily driven by growth stocks which are up 28.9 percent. This outperformance of growth over value is truly outstanding.

We are also seeing big performance
differences between large cap which is up 10.4 and small cap which is down 5.5.

We do, in the Fund, have investment in growth but the Fund has a value in small cap built into it. These tilts are contracting versus the benchmark. We can see that on the next slide.

The next slide shows performance of the Fund versus the policy target. For the month the Fund is up 3 percent but it is behind the policy target which was up 3.8. Year-to-date the Fund is positive, it's up 0.5 percent. It has recovered its losses but it is still behind the policy which was up 3.5 percent.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Is the policy target the same as your benchmark?

MS. SCOTT: Yes, that is exactly what it is. The target is the benchmark.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: So this is if we would have invested in the index?

MS. SCOTT: Correct.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Okay. So I was looking at this yesterday, Lorna, and I don't -- I was trying to pull up last month's chart and the month before that and $I$ was thinking are we always
behind the benchmark like this?

MEMBER MARTIN: No.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I didn't think we were but on this chart $I$ know that it is rolling. I just wanted us to have a little conversation about that.

MS. SCOTT: We were above the benchmark last month.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: That is what I thought.

MS. SCOTT: This month you can see we fell versus the benchmark . 8 percent behind, that is what pulled our year-to-date numbers even further apart.

Again, we are not always under the benchmark. This year is an exception, truly an exception, and it goes back to that growth versus value tilt.

I showed you on that first chart the growth stocks are really dominating. As long as we have that value tilt in there, it is going to be very hard to overcome and get to those growth numbers and the value of small cap tilt over the long-term outperformed. Keep in mind, this is a
short-term measurement period that we are looking at with this year-to-date and this one year number.

We are measuring over ten years. Look at that ten year number, Madam Treasurer. We are ahead of the benchmark there.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: But the three and five years.

MS. SCOTT: So those are being weighed down by that large underperformance in the one year.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I noticed there was another slide that -- I will take a look. I thought that the 9.6 and 9 percent was different for ten years on another slide. I will see once we go forward just to verify.

MS. SCOTT: Okay. So the next slide break downs the underperformance a little bit more. So this slide is designed to try and explain why we are underperforming.

For the month and the year-to-date most of that underperformance is coming from that middle column, the managers selection and execution. This includes the use of active managers and it includes the style tilt that we built into the portfolio.

Looking at this chart year-to-date, we are underperforming about 3 percent. We have different weights than the benchmark, that is the asset allocation column, costing us about 60 basis points. Whereas, active management and style tilt costs 2.5 percent.

The next slide provides a little more detail on that. So the year-to-date the Fund is up 0.5 percent and we can see that in column three versus the policy target or the benchmark which is in column four.

Let's take a few minutes to compare these two columns. The Fund's biggest weights are U.S. equity, global ex-U.S. and fixed income. These allocations were primarily driving the performance for the Fund as a whole.

Looking at year-to-date, the Fund's managers, U.S. equity managers, returned 5.6 percent. That is a pretty solid return but it is underperforming the benchmark which was up 9.4.

Again, most of this underperformance within U.S. equities was due to that value bias which we have mentioned before and a reminder that value is really underperforming the broader market.

Looking at global ex-U.S., the Fund's managers are down 4.7 percent versus the policy which is down about 2.9 percent.

Again, this underperformance is primarily due to two value managers, Brandes and LSV, whose value tilts aren't performing in this market.

In fixed income, the Fund's managers are up 5.9 percent. Again, a nice solid return but it is behind the policy which is up 6.85.

Again, so this one is primarily due to Loomis' Core Plus portfolio, which is underperforming, but it has actually come a long way in the last couple of months so there is still room for it to catch up.

Looking further down these columns, we can see public real estate. Our managers are down 10.4 percent and the policy is down 17. So our active manager selection of Principle, Principle is delivering better than benchmark returns so that is where active management is truly showing that it is helping.

Looking at the bottom of that column, we see liquid diversifying and that is down 16.4 percent. This is truly the worse performing asset
class for us. I would say this performance has been very, very disappointing.

A reminder we have two managers in this category. Both of these managers have been with us for less than a year so it is a very, very short time period.

One of those managers is William Blair and they have a macro allocation fund so they are taking investments from a top down perspective. Year-to-date that fund is down 6 percent.

The second manager that is causing a lot more problems for us is BlackRock. If you recall, they run a risk controlled long/short portfolio, that is investing across all the asset classes and they focus on specific characteristics versus specific factors that over the long-term have been known to outperform but that portfolio is down about 26 percent.

Callan and I have both had conversations with BlackRock to try and understand what is going on here.

This portfolio hasn't been positioned towards growth so it has missed out on this year's rally. The risk control that was supposed to
protect it on the downside didn't seem to be there. The plan really is to watch both of these managers, particularly BlackRock, as we anticipate that there will be more volatility going into year-end and just seeing how these portfolios do against the volatility.

Maybe we can invite those managers in to present to the Board and review the year as a whole early next year. Brady, do you want to weigh in on this?

MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, Lorna. Just a couple of quick comments to reinforce some of the points that Lorna made. First and foremost, we have been disappointed in how BlackRock has performed but also the risk primia type of approach that they implement so the strategy hasn't worked like we expected and the manager hasn't worked.

It is a very short period of time so as Lorna mentioned we would like to see how they do through the end of year.

We have been monitoring BlackRock. We had a call with their team last week and I think Lorna is monitoring this portfolio on a daily basis
and when there is underperformance she's in touch with both me and BlackRock.

And while it is disappointing to have a manager that is so new to the program experience this sort of underperformance, it is not totally unprecedented.

So some of the board members may recall the hiring of Brown Advisory as a large cap growth manager. For three years they underperformed their benchmark, we placed them on watch and remained patient with the manager and their three year number is now 27 percent relative to a benchmark of 24 percent. So they were able to rebound and outperform but it is very difficult when a manager in a strategy is new to have that patience.

So we recommend the Board try to adopt that patience and allow Lorna and I to monitor this over the short-term and report back around the year-end.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: How long do we normally wait before we put someone on a watchlist considering they are so new?

MEMBER MARTIN: I guess I could speak to that. We don't rush to put people on watchlist.

We try to wait three to six months after we identify an issue, $I$ think that has been my experience, unless counsel and our investment staff sees something material structurally, but it is something that we don't rush to do. When we put somebody on watch, we also try to be patient. It is not a rush process.

MR. O'CONNELL: Just from our
perspective, the watchlist tends to serve more as a tool for fiduciaries to show that you are engaging in due diligence and oversight of these firms.

The managers don't like to be on the watchlist, but it is not like they start trying harder once they have been informed of that. So there is no magic number in terms of the period of time but patience in general with these hiring and firing decisions will reward the Fund over the long-term. But decisions like this and performance like this so soon in a relationship really challenges us as investors to try to maintain that long-term perspective.

We would like to keep a close eye on them and then maybe consider the formal watchlist addition at a later point, but $I$ mean effectively
they are on watch right now. Lorna's watch and Callan's watch.

MEMBER MARTIN: Does anyone have any issues with it? Okay. Next.

MS. SCOTT: Moving on to the next slide, the next slide shows our MWDBE allocations. With the funding of Brown Capital at the beginning of August, our MWDBE allocation moved from about 18 and a half percent to 22 percent.

The rest of these slides $I$ will leave for your review, it is mainly data, and we can move on to the cash needs.

MS. VLAHOS: As of September 11 th, we had with the Treasurer's office, which is your Fidelity account as well as our Chase checking account, we had a balance of approximately 24.5 million dollars.

The next line is basically identifying that as you recall last month after we received our tax receipts we had after benefits were made approximately 55 million dollars in $I$ think excess funds.

So after discussing with Lorna, we transferred 25 million dollars to Northern Trust to
see if we can get a little higher of a return on the money until we need it, that is bringing our balance to 49.6 million dollars.

We anticipate an additional employee contribution before the end of the month bringing our available cash balance to 51.3 million dollars.

This month, just like last month, we are anticipating around 30 million dollars in benefit payments after our Death Benefits, Refunds, bringing our total expenditures this month to 31.5 million dollars. After benefits, our remaining balance between the Chase checking, the Fidelity and the money we transferred to Northern, our cash balance would be the 19.7 million dollars.

We can go to the next slide. The next slide reflects our cash flows. As you can see we will have the 49.5 million dollars for the combination of the 25 million dollars from Northern Trust as well as the Chase checking balance of 49.5 million dollars.

I want to draw your attention over to the average cash tax receipts, which is we are reflecting 20.2 million dollars. This is obviously just an estimate. We have actually collected
approximately $I$ think it is 216.5 million dollars we have collected so far. Leaving us with an approximate balance of 28.5 million dollars. This is just to reflect more of an accurate account of how the cash flows come in.

Basically, this 22 is what we anticipate to receive by the end of the year. We usually have one reconciling item payment that usually happens around February after the City does I believe a reconciliation. For example, last year we got a payment of almost 10 million dollars after the year-end when they reconcile to see what remaining balance is up.

I don't know if Reshma can talk any more on that.

MEMBER SONI: As Jackie is mentioning, we usually look at the beginning of the year, around the February timeframe, to see what has been levied versus what we have actually collected and then there is a differential that we payout, that was budgeted in 2020 and we're planning to budget the same for 2021.

MS. VLAHOS: Okay. That is just to kind to draw your attention, the actual balance with tax
receipts is 28.5 but we're just reflecting the 20.20 because that is just what we think we're going to receive by the year-end.

MEMBER SONI: Jackie, sorry I don't have the papers with me, overall what was the tax levy? MS. VLAHOS: 245 million and we have received approximately 216.5 so far.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: 215?
MS. VLAHOS: 216.5.
MEMBER SONI: One thing that we are waiting for is to figure out what are the next dates of tax receipts from the County. We haven't gotten the list yet. When we get that list, Jackie, I will share it with you.

MS. VLAHOS: Would it be next week or the next couple of weeks?

MEMBER SONI: We have no idea at this point. We know for sure that, because October 1st was the 60 day grace period, we will see some tax collection probably, this is what we anticipate, by the end of the month.

So I think the way you have depicted it will work well but we don't know when those distributions will happen. We have asked for a new
tax schedule.
MS. VLAHOS: Perfect. Does anyone have any questions?

MS. SCOTT: Moving to the rebalancing template. So this first column shows our allocation as of September 11th. At the very bottom of the column, we have 26.9 million cash at Northern Trust.

At this point, assuming no new inflow of tax receipts prior to month end, we will transfer about 6 million dollars from Northern to Chase to cover the monthly benefit payments and that will leave us with 20.9 million dollars of cash at Northern, which we will probably use for October benefit payments.

Looking at a quick review of the asset allocation. Looking at that last column, which shows the variance, it shows the difference between where we are actually versus our target.

Looking at that variance column, you can see we remain very, very close to target. We are underweight in private equity. I am expecting to start seeing capital calls in our new private equity investments before the end of the year.

We do at this point have a small
overweight in cash but that is going to be temporary because that money is going to be used for benefit payments. Generally, we are very close to target is the takeaway.

Now, Brady, do you want to go to the next item which is the watchlist?

MR. O'CONNELL: We are recommending that Globeflex international small cap watchlist period be extended. So they have been on for a period of time as we conducted a search and we are recommending the Board extend that while we wrap up the work related to that earlier review of structure.

MS. BURNS: Brady, could you articulate for the Trustees exactly how long Globeflex has been on already and what period of time that you are recommending that they stay on watch or be extended on watch?

MR. O'CONNELL: So I do believe it was a six month time period. Lorna, is that your recollection?

MS. SCOTT: I believe that's correct, too.
$\square$
MR. O'CONNELL: And, technically, we
could recommend a six month time horizon, but we do not anticipate them being on for that long. So this extension is really related to some of the other changes that we are making to the portfolio.

MS. BURNS: Trustee Conyears-Ervin, to your point earlier, trustees need to be concerned about how long managers are on watch. This seems prudent and it's based so the recommendation of your CIO and your investment consultant. So you, as a fiduciary, should feel comfortable that the recommendation is within the range of what you as trustees can rely on as being prudent.

MEMBER MARTIN: Would you like me to make a motion then to extend the watchlist period for Globeflex consistent with the direction of the CIO and the Fund consultants?

MS. BURNS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Is there a second?
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Second by Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
Motion carries.

MR. O'CONNELL: May I make one quick followup to an earlier question, please?

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Certainly.
MR. O'CONNELL: So a question came up earlier about the duration of that training session the trustees had been made aware of so that is indeed one hour long. But $I$ did want to make you aware that you can participate in those live but there is also a library of on demand webinars that you can listen to whenever you like. Those are the
on the Callan website.
We can forward a link to that for the trustees so that you can see what other sessions are available and spend as much as time on that as you want when it is convenient to you. So I will send that to Steve and Lorna and we'll pass that along.

If you have any problems registering at the website or finding those training opportunities, please just let me know and $I$ will help fix that.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: In a year like this with no travel, $I$ mean one class for eight hours, okay?

MS. BURNS: One of the things we have to do is we have to do some sexual harassment training that we talked about. We will start building that into the October, November and December meetings, different things we can talk about, to give you some of that training, Trustee Conyears-Ervin, to get you your eight hours.

MS. SCOTT: That concludes the Investment Report.

MEMBER MARTIN: Motion to accept the

Investment Report and the recommendations therein.

MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion to accept by Trustee Martin. Second by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries. Thank you.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, it looks like tomorrow $I$ should be able to get back to work and given that I'd like to make a motion to adjourn so I can get ready.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to adjourn.

All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank you, very
much.
(WHICH WERE ALL THE PROCEEDINGS
IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MEETING
AT THIS DATE AND TIME.)
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| 49:20, 49:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Euclid [7]-21:23, } \\ & \text { 21:24, 22:12, 23:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 67:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { firms }[1]-60: 11 \\ & \text { first }[12]-4: 23,18: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 33:1, 33:4, 33:6, <br> 33:8, 33:10, 33:12, |
| Election [1] - 49:4 emails [1]-49:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 24,22: 12,23: 13, \\ & 24: 23,31: 16,32: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extended [2] - 66:10, } \\ & \text { 66:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 1,39: 10,40: 13 \\ & 50: 24,53: 19,58: 14, \\ & 65: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $33: 8,33: 10,33: 12 \text {, }$ |
| EMG [2] - 40:18, 43 | evaluated [1] - 41:22 <br> evaluation [1] - 40:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extension [2] - 30:15, } \\ & 67: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | 44:14, 44:18, 44:20, |
| employee $[1]$ - 62: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 23,45: 1,45: 3, \\ & 45: 5,45: 18,45: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| EMT ${ }_{[3]}-33: 18,33: 24$, $36: 5$ | evidence [5] - 34:10, | extensive ${ }_{[1]}-17: 12$ | $54: 7$ | $6: 5,46: 10,46: 14,$ |
| end [10] - | 34:12, 35:1, 37:5, 47:23 | extreme [1] - 22:18 | fix $[1]-69: 11$ <br> fixed [2] - 55:14, 56:7 |  |
| 19, 62:5, 63 | ex [2] -55:14, 56:1 | $25: 2$ |  | $46: 16,46: 19,46: 21,$ |
| 63:12, 64:3, 64:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ex-U.S }[2]-55: 14, \\ & 56: 1 \end{aligned}$ | eye ${ }_{[1]}-60: 22$ | flier [1] - 20:7 <br> flows [2] - 62:16, 63:5 | 47:11, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:20, 47:22, |
| ending [2] - 6:7, | exact [1] - 42:15 exactly [2] - 52:16 | $F$ | focus [1] - 57:15 <br> follow [2] - 20:17, 40:4 <br> follows [2] - 35:21, |  |
| engage [2]-26:9 |  |  |  | 50:1, 50:5, 50:7, |
| 41:20 | 66:16 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { engaged }[2]-38: 18 \\ & 38: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXAMINATION }{ }_{[2]} \text { - } \\ & 35: 22,39: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fact }[3]-47: 3,47: 7 \\ & 48: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { follows [2] - 35:21, } \\ & 39: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $50: 16,50: 18,67: 19,$ |
| engaging [1] - | $\text { examine }[1]-40: 1$ | $48: 3$ fact [1]-31:3 | followup [1] - 68:16 $\text { FOR }_{[1]}-2: 12$ | 68:7, 68:9, 68:11, |
| Engine [2] - 34:1, 36:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { examined }[3]-35: 21 \text {, } \\ & 39: 11,41: 18 \end{aligned}$ | factor [1] - 25:23 | foregoing [1] - 72:11 foremost $[1]-58: 14$ | 70:8, 70:10, 70:13 70:15, 70:17, 70:19, |
| enter [1]-32 |  | factors [2] - 27:8, |  |  |
| entered [1] - 37 | example [1]-63:10 | 57 | foremost $[1]-58: 14$ |  |
| entire ${ }_{[1]}-31: 15$ | exception [4]-22:18, | failure [2] - 23:2, | forgive [1]-21:11 <br> form [2] - 42:14, 42:16 | 70:15, 70:17, 70:19, 71:2, 71:5 |
| entitled [1]-1:11 | 23:12, 53:16, 53:17 <br> excess [1]-61:21 | fair [1]-29:23 |  | Fortuna ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:2 |
| ENTITLED ${ }_{[1]}-71: 10$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fairly [1] - 18:16 } \\ & \text { far }[2]-63: 2,64: 7 \end{aligned}$ | rmal [2] - 43:24, | forward [6] - 16:11, |
| epidural [1] - 40:20 | execution [1] - 54:22 |  | 60:23 |  |
| equities [3]-51:10, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Executive }[6]-2: 17, \\ 3: 24,18: 13,22: 5, \end{gathered}$ | favor [2]-48:22, 71:3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 14,23: 21,23: 24, \\ & 54: 15,69: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 51:11, 55:22 |  | -6 | 6:1 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { equity }[6]-19: 22, \\ & 51: 18,55: 14,55: 18, \end{aligned}$ | executive [4] - 44:4, | $\begin{gathered} 63: 18 \\ \text { fee }[1]-29: \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Formula }[4]-5: 23, \\ & 6: 5,6: 6,7: 9 \end{aligned}$ <br> FORTUNA [164]-2:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { four }[3]-22: 19,31: 21, \\ & 55: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 65:22, 65:24 | exercises [2]-38:15, | fell $[1]-53.1$ |  |  |
| Errors [1] - 11:8 |  | felt ${ }_{[1]}$ - $31: 15$ | FORTUNA [164] - 2:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:2, 24:6 } \\ & \text { free }[1]-19: 24 \\ & \text { front }[1]-18: 23 \\ & \text { full }[2]-24: 12,42: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| ERVIN [43]-2:8, 5: | 44:2 <br> exhausted [1] - 42:20 <br> Exhibit [1] - 40:8 <br> exhibits [2] - 36:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { few }[1]-55: 12 \\ & \text { Fidelity }[2]-61: 14 \text {, } \\ & 62: 12 \end{aligned}$ <br> fiduciaries [1] - 60:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 11,5: 13,5: 18, \\ & 5: 24,6: 10,6: 14, \\ & 6: 16,6: 19,6: 21, \\ & 6: 23,7: 3,7: 16,7: 22, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6:18, 8:2, 8:24, 9:22, |  |  |  |  |
| 10:21, 11:20, 12:21, |  |  |  |  |
| 13:20, 14:9, 14:19, |  |  |  |  |


| full-time ${ }_{[1]}$ - 42:6 function [1] - 39:21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12:7, } 12: 10 \\ \text { guess }[1]-59: 23 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { interview }[4]-40: 1, \\ 40: 24,41: 8,41: 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { keep }[2]-53: 24,60: 22 \\ & \text { kind }[5]-18: 19,37: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fund [10]-23:2, 24:8, |  | Ibuprofen [1] - 38:16 <br> idea [1] - 64:17 <br> identify [1] - 60:2 <br> identifying ${ }_{[1]}-61: 18$ | [1] - 41:9 | :8, 43:21, 63:23 |
| 6:7, 30:8, | H |  | ${ }_{[1]}-24: 2$ | [2]-31:13, 31:14 |
| :22, 39:22, 57:8, |  |  | [1] -52:1 | nowledge [1] - 31:3 |
| 57:10 | half [2]-20:9, 61:9 <br> hands [1] - 35:17 |  | ting [1] - 57:14 | wn [1] - 57:17 |
| FUND [1] - 1:3 |  | ILLINOIS ${ }_{[1]}-72: 1$ | investment [5] - | knows [3]-20:6, 29:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fund }[22]-21: 18 \text {, } \\ & 21: 20,22: 20,24: 20, \end{aligned}$ | harassment [1] - 69:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Illinois [4]-1:14, 19:7, } \\ & \text { 19:12, } 72: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 10,51: 1,52: 3, \\ & 60: 3,67: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24: 23,25: 3,25: 4, \\ & 26: 3,29: 6,29: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hard }[3]-42: 6,43: 22 \text {, } \\ & 53: 22 \end{aligned}$ | impact [1] - 19:13 | Investment [5]-2:17, | L |
| :14, 32:14, 34:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:22 } \\ & \text { harder }[1]-60: 14 \end{aligned}$ | impingement | 70: |  |
| 2:3, 52:4, 52:8, | head [1] - 26:20 <br> heading [1] - 31:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { impingements [1] - } \\ & \text { 40:18 } \end{aligned}$$\text { impinging }[1]-43: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { investments }[5] \text { - } \\ & 17: 13,19: 12,51: 3, \\ & 57: 9,65: 24 \end{aligned}$ | Laborers [1]-26:3 <br> laid [1] - 38:7 <br> large [5] - 23:8, 51:14, |
| 9, 52:10, 55:8 |  |  |  |  |
| 55:16, 60:17, 67:1 |  |  |  |  |
| Fund's [11] - 3:24, | 31:2 | implement [1] - 58:17 |  | 52:1, 54:9, 59:8 |
| 4:11, 32:9, 34:2, | hear ${ }_{[1]}$ - $34: 12$ <br> heard [1] - 47:24 | implementing $[1]$ - 30:18 <br> important ${ }_{[1]}$ - 35:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:12 } \\ & \text { investors [2]-51:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { last }[15]-19: 20, \\ & 25: 19,25: 24,26: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 35:8, 46:7, 47:4 |  |  |  |  |
| 55:13, 55:17, 56:1, |  |  | 60:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 19,25: 24,26: 11, \\ & 29: 17,36: 1,36: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 56:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hearing }[4]-4: 22,6: 3, \\ & 34: 4,34: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { important }[1]-35: 11 \\ & \text { importantly }[1]-22: 9 \end{aligned}$ | invite [1] - 58:7 involved $[1]$ - 48:13 | $52: 23,53: 8,56: 13,$ |
| funded [1] - 30:23 | hearings $[1]-36: 12$ <br> held ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:12 | importantly [1]-22:9 <br> imposed [1] - 23:15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 23,61: 19,62: 7, \\ & 63: 10,65: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| funding [8]-22:15, |  | imposed [1] - 23:15 <br> improve [2]-40:23, | involved [1] - 48:13 |  |
| 18, 23:18, 23:24, | help [2] - 40:21, 69:11 | improve [2]-40:23, 43:16 | 17:13 | lastly [1] - 34:23 <br> late [1] - 7:2 |
| 30:13, 30:19, 31:4, | helpful | improvement [1] - | issue [5]-23:18, |  |
| 61 | 19:18 |  | 26:24, 27:13, 31:1 | late [1] - 7:2 <br> lateral [1] - 40:17 <br> layup [3]-36:7, 38:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Funds }[1]-26: 3 \\ & \text { funds }[4]-22: 19, \\ & 29: 20,31: 21,61: 22 \\ & \text { future }[2]-25: 7,28: 4 \end{aligned}$ | helping [1] - 56:21 <br> helps $[1]-28: 11$ <br> herein [2]-35:20, |  | 60:2 |  |
|  |  | includes [2] - 54:23 | issues [1] - 61 | layup [3]-36:7, 38:11, |
|  |  | income [3] - 38:18, | IT [1]-2:18item [8]-16:13, 18:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lead }[2]-27: 8,30: 13 \\ & \text { least }[2]-34: 15,42: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | herein [2] - 35:20, | 55:14, 56:7 |  |  |
|  | high [1] - 25:2 <br> Highclere [1] - 51:4 <br> higher [3]-31:4, 31:5, <br> 62:1 | increased [1] - 23:20 indeed $[2]-24: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:7, 19:20, 48:9, } \\ & 50: 24,63: 8,66: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leave }{ }_{[2]}-61: 10, \\ & 65: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| G |  | -24:1 | 50:24, 63:8, 66:7 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { general }[1]-60: 16 \\ & \text { generally }[2]-27: 14, \\ & 66: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | indemnifying ${ }_{[1]}$ -25:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:10, 9:10, 10:8, } \\ & \text { 12:5, 17:12, 21:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { left [3] - 27:4, 33:7, } \\ & 48: 8 \\ & \text { legal }[2]-23: 2,48: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 62:1 <br> hiring [2] - 59:8, 60:16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 5,17: 12,21: 10, \\ & 33: 23 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Giuffre [1] - 14:8 | history ${ }_{[1]}$ - 41:12 <br> hold [1] - 5:24 <br> Holt [19] - $3: 6,5 \cdot 8$, | indication [1] - 41:17 | items [1] - 48:8 <br> Items [2] - 4:24, 7:7 | Legal [2] - 48:8, $48: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { given }[5] \text { - } 33: 21, \\ & 35: 12,70: 23,72: 10, \end{aligned}$ |  | individual [1]-23:15 inflammatories [1] - |  | legs [2] - $37: 23,41: 4$ |
| 35:12, 70:23, 72:10, 72:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Holt }[19]-3: 6,5: 8, \\ & 6: 19,7: 19,8: 5,9: 3, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { less }[1]-57: 5 \\ & \text { letter }[1]-12: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| global [2] - 55:14, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 38: 16 \\ \text { inflow }[11-65: 9 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 56:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:23, 12:14, 12:24, } \\ & \text { 13:23, 14:22, 15:23, } \end{aligned}$ | information [2] -$19: 24,41: 11$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { levied }_{[1]}-63: 18 \\ & \text { levy }_{[1]}-64: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Globeflex [3] - 66:9, } \\ & 66: 16,67: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:16, 63:16, 64:4, } \\ & 64: 14 \end{aligned}$ | Iiabilities [1] - 25:10 <br> liability [15] - 22:2, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { GOESEL [7] - 2:20, } \\ 21: 11,24: 1,27: 21, \\ 30: 11,31: 7,33: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 5,1 \\ & 50: 12 \end{aligned}$ | informed [1] - 60:14 | JACLYN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:18 <br> jerk [2] - 31:13, 31:14 | liability [15] - 22:2, |
|  | HOLT [19]-2:11, 3:7, | injection [2] - 40:20, 42:21 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:8, 22:9, 22:10, } \\ & \text { 22:13, 22:15, 23:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5:6, 6:20, 7:17, 8:6, | instance [1]-26:19 | John [1] - 19:17 <br> jump [1] - 27:21 <br> jurisdiction [1] - 4:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 14,24: 9,28: 7, \\ & 30: 15,30: 16,32: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Goesel's [1]-21:5grace $[1]-64: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:4, 10:2, 10:12, } \\ & \text { 11:1, 11:24, 12:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { insurance }[24]-21: 6, \\ 21: 15,22: 7,22: 17, \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | liable [1] - 28:1 <br> library ${ }^{[1]}$ - 68:23 |
|  | 13:1, 13:24, 14:23, | 22:21, 23:1, 23:19, |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { grant }[4]-35: 2,45: 12, \\ & 45: 14,48: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 24,17: 6,18: 7, \\ & 50: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 24:7, 24:19, 25:11, | K | License ${ }_{[1]}-72: 18$ <br> likely [2] - 41:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { great }[2]-20: 15, \\ & 25: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | home [1] - 44:2 | 28:21, 29:6, 30:12, | K-a-c-i-j-a ${ }^{[1]}$ - 36:2 | 43:17 |
| group [1] - 36:10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 13,31: 8,31: 15, \\ & 31: 20,32: 8,32: 10, \end{aligned}$ | Kacija [10] - 16:6, $33 \cdot 18,33 \cdot 19,33 \cdot 24$ |  |
| growth [9]-51:12, | hopefully [1] - 19:4 <br> horizon [1] - 67:2 <br> hour [4]-1:15, 20:9, 68:21 |  | 33:18, 33:19, 33:24, | limit [2] - 23:5, 28:2 <br> limitations [1] - 26:21 |
| 1:20, 51:22, 52:4, |  | 32:11 | 34:2, 34:6, 35:16, | line ${ }_{[2]}-33: 19,61: 18$ |
| 53:17, 53:20, 53:22, |  | rested [1] - 19:23 | 36:2, 40:13, 47:23 | ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 69 |
| 57:23, 59:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { hours [5] - 20:3, 20:7, } \\ \text { 20:16, 69:14, 69:21 } \end{gathered}$ | international [1] - | KACIJA [8] - 33:20, | quid [1]-56:23 |
| Guardianship [1] 12:6 guardianship [2] - |  | 66:9 | 34:18, 34:22, 35:4 | st [2] - 64:13 |
|  |  | intervent | 35:14, 35:19, 39:2, | listed [1]-21:10 |
|  |  | 42:20 | 48:5 | Iisten [1] -68:24 |


| lists [1]-19:11 | manner [1]-26:10 | 34:10 | 12:2, 12:5, 12:12, | RS [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| live ${ }_{[1]}-68: 22$ | MARC [1] - 2:18 | McPhillips [47] - 2:7 | 12:16, 12:18, 12: | members [5] |
| long-term [4]-53:24, | MARK ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:19 | 3:8, 7:4, 8:3, 8:4, | 12:23, 13:1, 13:3, $13: 6,13: 10,13: 1$ | $4: 20,35: 7,37: 18,$ |
| long/short [1] - 57:13 | 40:8 | $9: 23,9: 24,10: 22,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 13: 6,13: 10,13: 15, \\ 13: 17,13: 20,13: 22 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | mentioned [4]-22 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { look }[7]-19: 2,22: 21, \\ 27: 13,40: 18,54: 3, \end{gathered}$ | market [5] - 21:23, $31: 17,51: 11,55$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:23, 11:21, 11:22, } \\ & \text { 12:22, 12:23, 13:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 24,14: 2,14: 5, \\ & 14: 9,14: 14,14: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 29:9, 55:23, 58:20 } \\ \text { mentioning }[1]-63: \end{gathered}$ |
| 54:12, 63:17 | 56:6 | 13:13, 13:21, 13:22 | 14:19, 14:21, 14:23 | 3.23 |
| looked [2] - 28:1 | ma | :20, 14:21, 15:21, | 15:1, 15:3, 15:6, | ichael [1] - 39:15 |
| 49:8 | 2:18, 3 | 22, 17:3, 17: | 15:11, 15:15, 15:17, | MICHAEL [2]-2:19 |
| looking [11] - 18:22, | markets [1] - 51:1 | 8:5, 33 | 15:20, 15:22, 15:24, | 39:9 |
| 52:22, 54:1, 55:1, | Martin [45]-3:1, 5:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 5,44: 23,44: 24, \\ & 45: 13,45: 15,45: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:2, 16:5, 16:10, } \\ & \text { 16:17, 16:21, 16:23, } \end{aligned}$ | middle [1] - 54:21 |
| 55:17, 56:1, 56:15, | $6: 11,6: 12,7: 19,$ | 45:13, 45:15, 45:23, 45:24, 46:19, 46:20, | 16:17, 16:21, 16:23, | might [2]-23:15, 28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & : 22,65: 16,65: 17 \\ & : 20 \end{aligned}$ | 7:20, 8:17, 8 | 45:24, 46:19, 46:20, <br> 47:16, 47:17, 50:10, | $11,17: 1$ | Mike [1] - 26:19 |
| S [4] | 0:15, 11 | 11, 68:7, 68: | 8, 17:22, 17:2 |  |
| 21, 51:16, 70:21 | 14, 12.15, | 70:13, 70:14 | :5, | 8, 29.19 |
| Loomis' $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$ - $56: 11$ | 14, 14:11, 14:13 | MCPHILLIPS ${ }_{[5]}-7$ : | $8: 12,20$ | 21, 30: |
| LORI ${ }_{[1]}-2: 16$ | :13, 15:14, 16:19 | 7:5, 28:12, 28:15, | 20:11, 20:22, 21:2, | 61:21, 61:24, 62:3, |
| Lorna [15] - 19:14 | 16:20, 17:20, 17:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 8 \\ & \text { mean }[4]-28: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 21:4, 21:9, 23:16, 24:13, 28:12, 28:15, | 62:6, 62:8, 62:11 |
| 19:16, 20:1, 20:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:1, 24:10, } 24 \\ & 25: 23,32: 20,3 \end{aligned}$ | mean [4]-28:17, $42: 19,60: 24,69: 1$ | 24:13, 28:12, 28:15, $30: 5,31: 2,31: 24,$ | 62:14, 62:17, 62:18, |
| 13, 58:20, 58:24 | 44:15, 44:16, 45:14, | meaning [1] - 43:18 | 32:3, 32:1 | 3, 63:11, 64 |
| 17, 61:23, 66:21 | 16, 46:12, 47 | measurement [1] | 2:24, 33 | 65:7, 65:11, 65: |
| 69:6 | :9, 48:21, 50:3 | 54:1 | 3:5, 33:7, 33:9, | mind [2] - 43:2, 53:24 |
| LORNA [1] - 2:17 | 67:20, 67:24, 70:5, | measuring [1] - 54:3 | 33:11, 33:16, 33:21, | minimal [1] - 43:11 |
| Lorna's [1] - 61:1 | MARTIN [69] - 2:5, 3:1, | medical [3]-37:14,$39: 24,41: 14$ | 44:3, 44:6, 44:11, <br> 44:13, 44:17, 44:19, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minimum }[4]-5: 23, \\ 6: 5,6: 6,7: 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| losses [1] - 52:12 |  |  |  |  |
| low [1] - 41:2 | 3:4, 3:6, 3:8, 3:12, <br> 4:13, 5:10, 5:22, 6:4, <br> 6:13, 7:7, 7:18, 7:21, | MEETING [2] - 1:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:13, 44:17, 44:19, } \\ & 44: 22,44: 24,45: 2 \end{aligned}$ | minutes [1] - 55:12 Minutes $31-4.24$ |
| lower [4]-25:8, 37:20, |  |  | 45:4, 45:10, 45:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minutes }[3]-4: 24, \\ & 5: 3,7: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $6: 13,7: 7,7: 18,7: 21,$ | 71:10 | 45:17, 45:19, 45:22, |  |
| LT | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 11,8: 19,9: 9,9: 17, \\ & 10: 7,10: 13,10: 16, \end{aligned}$ | Meeting [1] - 4:16 meeting [10] - 1:11, | 46:6, 46:9, 46:13, <br> 46:15, 46:18, 46:20, | missed [1] - 57:23 <br> money [5]-28:19, |
| luck [2] - 33:15, 48:4 | 11:6, 11:15, 12:5, | $3: 18,4: 6,4: 8,5: 1,$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { money }[5]-28: 19, \\ & 38: 19,62: 2,62: 13, \\ & 66: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| LUND [1] - 2:16 | 14:5, 14:14, 15:6, <br> 15:15, 16:5, 16:10, |  | 46:22, 46:24, 47:2, <br> $47: 5,47: 10,47: 12$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:2, 5:4 } \\ & 34: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  | monitor ${ }_{[1]}-59: 17$ |
| M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:15, 16:5, 16:10, } \\ & \text { 16:21, 17:11, 17:16, } \end{aligned}$ | meetings $[1]-69: 18$ <br> Meetings [2] - 4:7, | 47:21, 48:6, 48:18, |  |
| M. [2] - 2:19, 39:9 $^{\text {[ }}$ | 17:22, 18:12, 21:4, | Meetings [2] - 4:7 |  | $58: 22,58: 24$ <br> month 518$]-19: 1$ |
| macro [1] - 57:8 | 21:9, 23:16, 24:13, | meets [1] - 19:1 | $48: 19,49: 1,49: 23,$ | $49: 10,51: 7,51: 9,$ |
| Madam [1] - 54:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 24,32: 3,32: 22, \\ & 33: 7,33: 16,33: 21, \end{aligned}$ | MELISSA ${ }_{[1]}-2: 8$ | 49:24, 50:4, 50:6, <br> 50:9, 50:11, 50:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 8,52: 24,53: 8, \\ & 53: 11,54: 20,61: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| magic [1] - 60:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 7,33: 16,33: 21, \\ & 44: 11,44: 17,45: 10, \end{aligned}$ | MEMBER [230]-3:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 9,50: 11,50: 13 \\ & 50: 15,50: 17,50: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| mail [1] - 48:2 | 45:17, 46:6, 46:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 4,3: 5,3: 6,3: 7, \\ & 3: 8,3: 10,3: 11,3: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $52: 14,52: 18,52: 21$ | $62: 5,62: 7,62: 10$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mailing }[3]-49: 4, \\ 49: 17,49: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 47:2, 47:10, 48:6, | 4:13, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, | $53: 2,53: 3,53: 9,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 21,65: 10,66: 21, \\ & 67: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { maintain }[1]-60: 20$ | 48:18, 49:1, 50:4, $50: 21,53: 2,59: 23,$ | 5:15, 5:21, 5:22, 6:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 6,54: 11,59: 20, \\ & 59: 23,61: 3,63: 16, \end{aligned}$ | month's [1] - 52:23 |
| maintained $[1]-26: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50:21, 53:2, 59:23, } \\ & \text { 61:3, 67:14, 68:1, } \end{aligned}$ | 6:9, $6: 13,6: 15,6: 18$, $6: 20,6: 22,7: 1,7: 5$ | 64:4, 64:8, 64:10, <br> 64:17, 67:14, 67:21, | monthly [1] - 65:12 |
| major [2]-22:23, | 61:3, 67:14, 68:1, | 6:20, 6:22, 7:1, 7:5, |  | months [2] - 56:13,$60: 1$ |
| 22:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mary }[11]-24: 14, \\ & 27: 22,28: 12,30: 11, \end{aligned}$ | 7:18, 7:21, | 8:1/1, 68:14, 6/:2, 68:6, |  |
| management [2] - |  | 8:15, 8.19 | 10, 68:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { morning }[5]-3: 17, \\ & 5: 17,5: 19,7: 1,34: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 55:5, 56:20 | $30: 24,32: 16,44: 5 \text {, }$ | , 8:11, 8:15, 8:19, | $69: 24,70: 3,$ |  |
| manager [7]-56:18, |  | 9, 9:2, 9:4, | :12, | most [4]-22:19, |
| 57:11, 58:18, 59:4, | MARY $_{[1]}-2: 14$ <br> material [1] - 60:4 | , 9:9, 9:13, 9:17, | $70: 16,70: 1$ | 41:22, 54:20, 55:21 |
| 59:9, 59:11, 59:14 |  | 9:19, 9:22, 9:24 | 70:21 | motion [50] - 5:3, 5:7 |
| managers [15] - 54:22, | materials [1] - 32:12 |  |  | 6:2, 6:3, 6:5, 6:10 |
| 54:23, 55:18, 56:2, |  | 10:16 | $7: 14,7: 15,8: 1$ | 7:5, 7:13, 7:18, 8:12, |
| $56: 5,56: 7,56: 16,$ | MATTER $_{[1]}$ - 1:7 | 0:21, 10:23 | $9: 11,9: 1$ | $8: 16,9: 14,10:$ |
| 57:3, 57:4, 57:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { matter }[3] \text { - 1:12, 3:20, } \\ & 41: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 11:11:3, 11 |  | 13, 11:12 |
| 58:3, 58:7, 60:12, |  | 11:20, 11:22, 11:24, | $2: 7,13: 8$ | 12:4, 12:10, 12:13, |
| 67: | matters [2]-4:19, |  | Members [1] - 15:9 | 13:9, 13:11, 14:8, |




| 72:9 | run [1]-57:13 | ```September [4]-1:14, 51:1, 61:13,65:6 serve [1] - 60:9 service [2] - 37:7, 37:10 services [1] - 18:18 serving[2]-27:18,``` | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 18,11: 11,11: 17, \\ & 12: 18,13: 17,14: 16, \\ & 15: 17,16: 23,17: 18, \\ & 17: 24,30: 5,31: 2 \\ & 32: 2,32: 24,44: 19, \\ & 45: 19,46: 15,47: 5, \\ & 47: 12,48: 19,50: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { step }[1]-28: 17 \\ & \text { step-up }[1]-28: 17 \\ & \text { stepping }[1]-24: 21 \\ & \text { steroid }[2]-40: 20, \\ & 42: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporter [1] - 72:7 <br> reporter ${ }_{[1]}$ - 72:7 <br> reporting [1] - 19:6 <br> represented [1]-26:4 <br> request $[3]-4: 18$, <br> 49:18 | rush [3]-59:24, 60:5, |  |  |  |
|  | 60:7 |  |  |  |
|  | S |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Steve [8]-18:13, |
|  | Sabina ${ }_{[1]}-12: 7$ |  |  | 20:16, 20:17, 21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Request }[2]-12: 5 \text {, } \\ & 15: 7 \end{aligned}$ | saw [1]-5:18 | 27:2 | 63:16, 64:4, 64:10, | :2, 49:4, 49:1 |
|  | schedule [1] - 65: | Session [1]-45 | 64:17, 68:3, 70:3, | 69:6 |
|  | scheduled [1] - 49:9 | session [6] - 27:16 | 70:9 | STEVEN [1] - 2:17 |
|  | Scott [1] - 19:14 | 4:4, 44:7, 45:7, | Soni [28]-3:4, 5:11, | still [5]-28:1, 28:8, |
| requests [1]-13:7 <br> required ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:8 | SCOTT [12] - 2:17, | 45:11, 68:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 14,7: 22,8: 17, \\ & 8: 20,9: 18,10: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $51: 5,52: 12,56: 13$ |
| requires [1]-3:20 | 50:24, 52:16, $52: 20$, $53: 7,53: 11,54: 8$, | sessions [1] - 69:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 20,9: 18,10: 17 \\ & 11: 13,11: 16,12: 1 \end{aligned}$ | stinging [2] - 37:21, |
| rescind [1]-32:6 <br> reserves [1] - 22:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 7,53: 11,54: 8, \\ & 54: 16,61: 5,65: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { seven }[3]-34: 11, \\ 34: 15,37: 12 \end{gathered}$ | 13:16, 14:15, 15:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 23 \\ & \text { stocks }[4]-51: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| RESHMA [1] - 2:10 | 66:23, 69:22 | 41:7 | 22, 17:20, 17:23 | 51:14, 51:21, 53:20 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reshma [3]-3:4, } \\ 31: 24,63: 14 \end{gathered}$ | search [1] - 66:11 | sexual ${ }_{[1]}$ - 69:16 | 44:18, 45 | stops [1]-22:7 |
|  | second [20]-5:5, 5:6, | Shanahan [1]-26:19 | 46:14, 47:8, 47:11 <br> $48 \cdot 21,50: 5,68 \cdot 2$ | strategy [2]-58:17, |
| reside [1]-13:7 <br> respect [4]-17:13, | $5: 8,6: 2,6: 8,6: 9,$ <br> 7:16, 7:17 7:19 | share [1] - 64:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 21,50: 5,68: 2, \\ & 70: 5,70: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 59:15 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { respect }[4]-17: 13 \\ 23: 18,37: 19,40: 5 \end{gathered}$ | 10:12, 12:12, 12:14, | shooting [1]-37:21 <br> short [4]-54:1, 57:5, | soon [1] - 60:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Street }{ }_{[1]}-1: 12 \\ & \text { strong }[2]-51: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| responded [1]-18:22 <br> responses [1] - 18:21 | 25:23, 32:14, 44:10, | 58:19, 59:1 | sorry [3]-5:18, 7:1, | 51 |
|  | 57:11, 67:20, 67:21, | short-term [2]-54:1, | 64:4 | structurally ${ }_{[1]}$ - $60: 4$ |
| rest $[1]-61: 10$restricted [2]-21:19, | 67:22, 70:5 | 9:18 | Sorry [1] - 20:14 | structure [1] - 66:14 |
|  | Second [18] - 6:11, | Shorthand [1] - 72:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sort [3] - 29:3, 31:18, } \\ & 59: 5 \end{aligned}$ | style [2]-54:24, 55:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { restricted [2]-21:19, } \\ & 23: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:15, 9:13, 11:11, } \\ & \text { 13:10, 14:9, 15:11, } \end{aligned}$ | shorthand [2]-72:9, | 59:5 <br> South [1] - 1:1 | sub [1] - 30:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { restricting }[2]-22: 13, \\ & 22: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 16:17, 17:18, 32:15, | show [2]-23:1, 60:10 | space [1] - 4:5 | subject [1] - 32:12 sufficient $[1]$ - 34: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { restriction [2] - 30:14, } \\ & 30: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 44:13, 45:13, 46:9, | showed [2] - 40:15, | specialist [2]-41:21, | Suite ${ }_{[1]}-1: 12$ |
|  | 48:19, 49:24, | 53:19 [2] | 43:17 | summarize [1] - 40:10 |
| restrictions [1]-25:8 <br> result [1] - 38:3 | 0:3, 71:1 | showing [2] - 51:19 | specialists [1] - 42:1 | summarizes [1] |
|  | seconded [16] - 8:17, | 56:20 | specific [2]-57:15, | summary [1] - 18:19 |
| RETIREMENT ${ }_{[1]}-1: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 15,10: 14,11: 13, \\ & 13: 12,14: 11,15: 13, \end{aligned}$ | shown [1] - 16:8 | 57:16 <br> spell ${ }_{[1]}-3$ | support [3]-25:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { return }[3]-55: 19, \\ & 56: 8,62: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $16: 19,17: 20,32: 20,$ | shows [6] - 51:7, 52:7, 61:6, 65:5, $65 \cdot 18$ | $\text { spend }[1]-69 \text { : }$ | 36:18, 43:14 |
| returned [1] -55:18 | 44:15, 45:15, 46:11, | $\begin{array}{r} 61: 6,65: 5,65 \\ \boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }}_{[1]}-19: 23 \end{array}$ | spine [1] - 40:21 | supposed [1] - 57:24 |
|  | :8, 48:21, 50:2 | significant [1] - 43:11 | sporting [1] - 38:2 | surgical [2]-41:19 |
| returns [1] - 56:19 <br> review [6] - $36: 14$ | Secretary [2]-2:5, | significantly [1] - | S ${ }_{[1]}-72: 1$ | 42:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 39: 24,58: 8,61: 11, \\ & 65: 16,66: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 34:6 Section [1] - 44:8 | 21:19 | staff [3] - 12:8, 36:10, | Survivor ${ }_{[1]}-7: 11$ |
|  | Section [1] - 44:8 | sits [1] - $26: 6$ | 60:3 | SWANSON[5] - 2:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reviewed }[2]-32: 13, \\ & 41: 14 \end{aligned}$ | section [2]-20:10 | sitting [1] - 41: | nd [1] - 51 | 18:15, 20:4, 20:19, |
|  |  | six [3] - 60:1, 66:2 | anding [1] - 41:6 | 21:7 |
| Reviews [1] - 16:14revised [1] -22:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { secure }[1]-21: 23 \\ & \text { see }_{[17]}-19: 1,23: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $7: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { start }[7]-19: 6,34: 10, \\ & 35: 6,51: 2,60: 13, \end{aligned}$ | Swanson [2]-4:1, 18:13 |
|  | $25: 22,52: 6,53: 11$ | skip [1] - 17:12 | $65: 23,69: 17$ | 18:13 |
| revisit ${ }_{[1]}-28: 4$ reward $[1]-60: 17$ | :14, 55:9, 56:16, | slide [14] - 51:6, 51:7, | starting $[5]-6: 6,7: 14$, | $35: 20,39: 8,39: 10$ |
| RFP ${ }_{[1]}-18: 17$ | 23, 58:20, 62:1 |  | 11, 14:7, 37:2 | symptoms [9] - |
|  |  | 54:14, 54:16, | rts [1] - 22:7 | 41:2, 41:7, 42: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { risk [7] - 25:3, 31:9, } \\ & 31: 22,57: 13,57: 24, \end{aligned}$ |  | 18, 55:7, 61:5, | [3]-1:13, 19:1 | 42:5, 43:8, 43:10, |
| 58:16 | $58: 5,65: 23$ | 61:6, 62:15, 62:16 | :8 | 43:12, 43:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { role }[1]-27: 19 \\ & \text { roll }[3]-3: 20,18: 11, \end{aligned}$ | seeking [1] - 35:2 | slides [2]-25:21 | state [4]-13:7, 35:24, $39: 14,49: 7$ |  |
|  | $\text { seem }[1]-58: 1$ |  | STATE $_{[1]}-72: 1$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roll }_{[1]}-2: 24 \\ & \text { rolling }[1]-53: 4 \\ & \text { room }_{[2]}-33: 22, \\ & 56: 14 \\ & \text { rules }[4]-49: 14, \\ & 49: 15,49: 20,49: 22 \end{aligned}$ | sees [1] - 60:4 | 1, 6 | ment [3]-26:1, | -21:16 |
|  | selection [2] - 54:22, | solid [2] - 55:19, 56:8 | 49: | takeaway ${ }^{[1]}$ - 66: |
|  |  | someone [1] - 59:21 | [2]-23:22 | target [8] - 52:8, |
|  | 38:14 | $\text { son }[1]-12:$ |  | 52:10, 52:15, 52:17, |
|  | send $[1]-69: 6$ | SONI $[36]-2: 10,3: 5$ | stay [1]-66:18 | 55:10, 65:19, 65:21, |
|  | [2]-22:4, 49:16 | 5:12, 6:15, 7:23, | STENOGRAPHIC ${ }_{[1]}$ | 66:5 |
|  | separately [1] - 20:18 | 8:15, 8:21, 9:19, | :10 | tarmac [1]-21:12 |



```
whole [3]-23:18,
    55:16, 58:8
    widow [1]-12:7
    Widow's [2]-7:11,
    7:14
WILLIAM[1]- 2:6
William [1] - 57:7
winner [1]-51:13
wish [2]-4:21, 28:16
Witness [2]-35:18,
    39:8
witness [2] - 35:20,
    39:10
wondering [2] - 5:15,
    42:24
words [2]-42:15,
    43:10
world [2] - 31:20,
    31:21
worse [2]-21:14,
    56:24
wrap [2] - 43:2, 66:12
wrapped [1] - 19:4
written [1] - 40:7
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \(\mathbf{Y}\) \\
\hline year \([37]-19: 5,19: 9\), \\
\(20: 16,20: 20,25: 14\), \\
26:16, 29:17, 37:8, \\
\(51: 17,51: 18,52: 10\), \\
\(53: 13,53: 16,54: 2\), \\
\(54: 4,54: 10,54: 20\), \\
\(55: 1,55: 8,55: 17\), \\
\(57: 5,57: 10,58: 5\), \\
\(58: 8,58: 9,58: 21\), \\
\(59: 11,59: 19,63: 7\), \\
\(63: 10,63: 12,63: 17\), \\
\(64: 3,65: 24,69: 12\) \\
year's \([1]-57: 23\) \\
year-end \([4]-58: 5\), \\
\(59: 19,63: 12,64: 3\) \\
year-to-date \([10]-\) \\
\(51: 17,51: 18,52: 10\), \\
\(53: 13,54: 2,54: 20\), \\
\(55: 1,55: 8,55: 17\), \\
\(57: 10\) \\
years \([7]-24: 20,29: 3\), \\
\(37: 10,54: 3,54: 7\), \\
\(54: 14,59: 9\) \\
yesterday \([1]-52: 22\) \\
YII \([4]-16: 6,33: 18\), \\
\(33: 24,36: 2\) \\
YLL \([2]-35: 19,36: 2\) \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline Z \\
\hline zero \([1]-24: 7\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

