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CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Please, do a roll call.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Holt.

Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Fortuna.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Martin is present.

MS. BURNS: And Trustee Soni.
MEMBER MARTIN: Trustee Soni, I'm sorry.

MEMBER SONI: No problem. Good morning.

MEMBER MARTIN: We have a quorum.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank you, very much.

I have to go through these remarks like I have to every meeting now. So it is Public Act 101-0640 allows this meeting to be conducted by audio video conference. The Act requires a roll
call on each vote on each matter acted upon.
Further, consistent with Public Act 101-0640 for the record $I$ am physically present at the Fund office as is the Executive Director and we are proceeding by video conference because we continue to believe that due to the pandemic it is important to not be physically present in the same space.

So we have posted a Notice of this meeting in accordance with the Open Meetings Act and the meeting is being recorded and a transcript of the proceeding will be prepared and will be made available on the Fund's website.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, consistent with Public Act 91-0715 and reasonable constraints determined by the Board of Trustees at each Regular Meeting of the Board or its committees that is open to the public members of the public may request a brief time to address the Board on relevant matters within its jurisdiction.

Are there any requests from the public to comment today?

That being said, if there are none, we will move on.

First, we will turn to approval of the Administrative Items. Under Item A, Approval of Minutes of Regular Audio Meeting for October 23, 2020, I make a motion to approve the Minutes from the October 23, 2020 board meeting.

MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion by
Trustee Martin to approve the Minutes. Seconded by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries. Go ahead.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, there was
a phone poll approving the October 23, 2020
transcript, which was taken on October 30 , 2020. I
move that the phone poll be spread upon the record and ratified by the Board.

MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion by
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I make a
motion to approve the Minimum Formula Annuities
starting with Member 13749 and ending with Member 14772.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Conyears Ervin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on to Item 2-C, we have Survivor Annuities, Widow's Annuities and Children's Annuities. I make a motion to approve the Widow's Annuities starting
with Member 09885 continuing to Member 09225.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Second.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion by
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee McPhillips.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving to
Item D, we have Refunds. I make a motion to
approve Refunds of Contributions beginning with Member 17579 and continuing through to Member 19021.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Conyears.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on
to Item E, Death Benefits. I move to approve the
Death Benefits starting with Member 09498
continuing through Member 09225.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Valencia.
Trustee Martin.


CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on to Item G, Benefit Recalculations. There is one Benefit Recalculation. This involves a change from a child's annuity to a full orphan annuity. The child is Tina Marie Liva, child of Louis A. Liva. The staff has the proper paperwork on file and I believe it's been reviewed by counsel. Given that, I make a motion to approve.

MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to approve by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on
to Request for Permission. There is an issue of guardianship. This involves the matter of Tina Marie Liva appointing her brother as her guardian. Staff confirms that the court letters of guardianship are on file. I make a motion to approve the guardianship in this matter.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to approve by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, we have a
request to reside out of state. There is one request and that is for Member 16041 Joseph Kelly to reside out of state. I make a motion to approve.

MEMBER MCPHILIIPS: Second.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to approve by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee McPhillips.

Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

```
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
    MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
    MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
    MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
    MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
    MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, under Item
I, we have Removals. I move to approve the
Removals starting with Donald J. Brandel and ending
with Laila Lynch.
    MEMBER VALENCIA: Second.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to approve the
Removals by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee
Valencia.
    Trustee Martin.
    MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
    MEMBER SONI: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
```

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on
to Item 3. We have request for the approval of Payments Pursuant to Administrative and Court Orders. I move to approve all of the

Administrative and Court Orders listed in the docket starting with Member 012407 and ending with Member 013804 .

MEMBER VALENCIA: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Valencia.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, if we are
ready, we can move on to the disability applications.

MS. BURNS: Trustee Martin, Vince texted me that he got caught behind an accident so he was having a hard time getting to the office. Can we go out of the regular order of business and consider entertaining a motion to go out of the regular order of business in order to do a few more of the more routine items?

MEMBER MARTIN: I have no issue with that at all. I make a motion to go out of the regular order of business.

MEMBER SONI: Second.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Martin.
Seconded by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Do we have the doctor
present?
MS. BURNS: Yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: I will stay in order
here. We will go to Item 5 Approval of Board Physician Reviews of Disability Recipients. I move to approve the board physician's recommendations.

MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, we can
move to Item 6, if that would be okay, that is the auditor finalist presentations.

MS. BURNS: Maybe, Trustee Martin, you would consider skipping over that one and go to Number 8, Expenditures.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving on to Item 8-A Administrative Expenses for Board Review and Approval. I move to approve the Administrative Expenses as presented.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, do we want to move to Employee Healthcare for 2021, if Steve wants to present on this issue?

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Yes, we are going to do that. Go ahead, Steve.

MR. SWANSON: Yes. Thank you. Under Item 8, there is healthcare premiums for 2021 A and B. A shows the current costs that we have
attached.
To give the Trustees some background on this, in 2018 we changed our healthcare plan, staff healthcare plan, for 2019, which continues to save us about $\$ 100,000$ a year. We moved away from a grandfather $p l a n$ and moved into a platinum plan, which is still a very good plan for the staff but it has saved the Fund considerable money.

It is shown in healthcare premiums for 2021 on that chart number $B$ and also our healthcare costs do move up incrementally every year because a new plan is based on the age of the participants and thankfully we are all a year older heading into 2021. We're still here so it does cost a little bit. It moves up between 3 to 4 percent every year strictly based on age. You will see some increases as we move along but overall we have been able to achieve some good savings for the Fund over the last three years.

MEMBER MARTIN: Any Trustees have any questions on it? If not, $I$ am going to make a motion to approve it.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to approve by

Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Conyears Ervin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, moving to Item 9. Steve, do you want to provide your Executive Director's Report?

MR. SWANSON: I do have a few items I'd like to go through. For one, in board packet, we have been asked to provide quarterly a report on member contributions. That is in Board pack, you can find that there.

I also have a report on the 2020 FOIA requests we have received so far this year. One in particular $I$ wanted to mention was received that prompted a phone poll where we received a request for the meeting transcript from a Mr. Paul Cogswell.

The next item is 9-A in board pack. It is the IT Security Awareness Training. This is required training for the Trustees. So, please, when you have a little time read through it, complete the training and then sign-off on the certificate and have it forwarded back to me. I certainly appreciate it. We need it completed by the end of the year.

MS. BURNS: Every trustee will get one hour of credit for completing that training towards their required eight hours of continuing education.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: What training?
MR. SWANSON: IT Security Awareness
Training, Madam Treasurer. It is in Board pack. I can also forward it, if you would like.

TRUSTEE CONYEARS-ERVIN: That would be good.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Is it possible that you
could let all the Trustees know how many hours that we have?

MR. SWANSON: You won't have to see me in December this year.

MEMBER VALENCIA: For eight hours
straight.
MR. SWANSON: Yes. Hopefully, it was
educational but thank you for putting up with us.
But I will get that out to the Trustees probably next week after this meeting. Some of it I have to work with legal counsel on just to make sure my calculations are correct.

The next item we have I see is the 2020 active election results. I believe the Board needs to certify those.

MEMBER MARTIN: Given that, Steve, I'd like to move to certify the active election results for the October, 2020 election and congratulate Mr . Robert Tebbens.

MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: A motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Soni.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I'd like to move on to Cyber Liability Insurance for 2021. I move to approve the purchase of the Cyber Liability Insurance for 2021 as presented, which is subject to Fund counsel's review and approval of the policy.

MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee McPhillips.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.

MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.

MS. BURNS: We can now go back to the regular order of business and do the hearings because $I$ know we have some trustees who need to leave. I believe Vince is on the call and ready to proceed. He thanks you for your patience.

MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to move on to Item 4 Hearings.

Mr. Iniguez, are you online?
MR. INIGUEZ: Yes, I am here.

MEMBER MARTIN: Let the record reflect this is the Duty Disability for Vincent Iniguez, Firefighter, Engine 12 .

Vincent, for the record, Vince Pinelli,
the Fund's attorney, is going to be conducting this hearing. Without anything further, Vince, take it away.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
Good morning, sir. Could you just please state your name so I pronounce it correctly and also spell your last name for the record.

MR. INIGUEZ: Vincent Paul Iniguez, I-n-i-g-u-e-z.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you. Mr. Iniguez, I'm going to be conducting this hearing for the record. I would like to advise you of some preliminary matters before we start evidence.

First of all, there are $I$ think eight trustees present.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Seven.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you. There are seven trustees present at the current time to hear the evidence on your application. If you don't know you should know, you need the yes vote of at least five of those seven trustees in order to receive this benefit. Do you understand that?

MR. INIGUEZ: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: In addition, you're

```
proceeding without an attorney. Is it your desire
to proceed without an attorney today?
```

MR. INIGUEZ: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Lastly, it is the applicant's burden of proof to present sufficient evidence to the Board in order for the Board to grant a benefit that the applicant is seeking. Do you understand it is your burden of proof?

MR. INIGUEZ: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: By way of procedures, I am going to start by asking you some questions under oath. Then the board members may or may not have questions of you. Then $I$ will call Doctor Samo, the board's physician, who interviewed you. If there is anything $I$ don't ask him that you think is important for the Board to know, please let me know and you will be given that opportunity. Do you understand the procedures?

MR. INIGUEZ: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Then we are ready to
proceed. Could I have Mr. Iniguez and Dr. Samo raise your right hands, please?
(Witness sworn.)
VINCENT P. INIGUEZ
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Sir, what is your current rank with the Fire Department?

A Firefighter EMT.
Q And what was your last assignment before you went on layup?

A Engine 32. You have me down at Engine 12. It is Engine 32.

Q Thank you for that clarification.
Directing your attention to the exhibits, you should have been provided a copy of Board Exhibits 1 through 13 in advance of the hearing. Did you get those exhibits?

A Yes.
Q Did you have a chance to review them?
A Yes, I did.
Q Do you have any objection to their
admission into the record subject to that clarification which you just made?

A No.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you.

```
Mr. Chairman, I move for admission of Board's Exhibits 1 through 13, with that clarification made by the applicant, without objection.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Admitted without objection.
(Board Exhibits 1 through 13
were admitted into evidence.)
MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
BY MR. PINELLI:
```

Q Now, sir, you are applying for a Duty Disability benefit based upon an injury or injuries that you sustained on October 28th of 2019; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Understanding the Board has your sworn statement and they have reviewed it, but could you just briefly tell us in your own words where you were and what happened to you on that date?

A Well, we were doing a rescue drill at Engine 32 's quarters. Placed a 28-foot ladder to the second floor.

Going up the ladder as I tried coming through the window on the second floor, I couldn't
wrap my leg around to get in and $I$ ended up falling in head first and just pretty much landed on my left side and that is when $I$ hurt my leg, my knee and my back.

Q Okay. Was that your lower lumbar area of your back?

A Yes.
Q Prior to that date, had you ever had any injuries to your lower back before?

A Yeah, I hurt it before, yeah.
Q Did you hurt it before while you were working?

A Yeah.
Q Were you able to rehabilitate and come back to active duty after those injuries?

A Yes, I was.
Q Did you basically have conservative treatment, that is non-surgical treatment, that allowed you to rehabilitate and come back to work after those injuries?

A Yes. Just physical therapy.
Q Now with respect to this injury,
following it did you have consultations with various doctors regarding treatment for your lower
back?
A Yes, I did.

Q What did they advise you with respect to treatment for your lower back?

A Well, my first one was just physical therapy and after the physical therapy it was still bothering me. They sent me to pain management.

They ended up giving me -- it's called two ESI injections, probably say about a month and a half apart, and that didn't work either. Ended up going for -- they sent me to an orthopedic doctor and he recommended that $I$ have the surgery on the back and I ended up going for a second opinion and he recommended the same thing.

Q Are they recommending what is called a fusion surgery?

A Yes. Yes. Fusion, $I$ believe, it is at L-4/L-5 and then $L-5 / S-1$ decompression and posterior spinal fusion. What is it?

Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion and something about some grafting.

Q Have you scheduled that surgery yet?
A Actually, I had it for the 29 th of October and I couldn't make that one because my
sugar level was too high and my primary doctor postponed it to get my sugar lower.

Q Is it currently scheduled or not yet?
A Not yet, no. They gave me some new medication for this diabetes that $I$ was diagnosed $I$ believe probably end of the February beginning of March after $I$ has taking those ESI injections.

Q Okay. Is it still your intention to go forward with the fusion just when the doctors tell you it is appropriate?

A Yeah. Yeah.

Q Now can you describe for the board members currently what if any pain or limitations of movement you are experiencing with your lower back?

A Well, with my lower back and it runs downs through my right leg and the buttocks in the back, as far as bending over, twisting, lifting, lifting anything heavy. I know $I$ would have a problem with that SCBA tank, throwing that on, because where the tank ends on the bottom that is where a lot of the pain is at. As far as just walking, after $I$ am walking about, 15 , maybe 15, 20 minutes, it just flares up right away.
$Q$ Now since you went on layup due to this injury, have you engaged in any activity by which you earn income?

A No. No. No.
Q Have you engaged in any sporting activities?

A No.
Q Are you currently taking any medications prescribed by a physician for the condition of your back?

A Yeah. Tylenol-3 codeine and Cyclobenzaprine.

Q Okay.
A Muscle relaxant.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you, very much. I
have no further questions at this time.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any questions? Hearing none.

MR. PINELLI: I would then call Dr. Samo.
(Witness previously sworn.) DANIEL G. SAMO, M.D.
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Please, state your name.
A Daniel Samo

Daniel Samo.
Q You are a physician; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Are a copy of your qualifications as a physician attached to the Board Exhibits?

A Yes.
Q Do you perform a function as a consultant to this Fund?

A Yes.

Q In that capacity, do you review medical records, interview or examine applicants and file a written report with the Board?

A Yes.
Q Did you follow that procedure with respect to Mr . Iniguez as marked as Board Exhibit Number 2?

A Yes.
Q Doctor, can you briefly describe for us what his diagnosis was and treatment recommendations?

A So his diagnosis was spinal stenosis, which is narrowing of the canal where the spinal
cord and the nerves are, as well as a herniated disc. He did try conservative treatment as he said. PT, epidural injections, which provided little or no relief. And so now he is scheduled for a decompression surgery, with a discectomy and a fusion.

Q Doctor, given his current limitations as he described them and that diagnosis and recommendation for treatment, would he be able to safely perform his duties with the Fire Department in his condition?

A No.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you. I have no further questions of the doctor.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Any questions for
Doctor Samo from the Trustees?

Go ahead. No questions moving forward then.

MR. PINELLI: That would conclude the evidence on this matter.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: I make a motion to grant.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion to grant by Trustee McPhillips.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Second by Trustee
Valencia.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to make a motion
for reexam consistent with the Board's policy.
MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion for reexam by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: I would also like to make a motion to adopt the Findings of Fact as presented by Fund counsel.

MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.
Based on the Findings of Fact made by the Trustees, the Trustees have voted to grant the benefit that you have requested. You will be notified by mail of the Findings of Fact and the Board's decision.

Thank you and good luck.
$\square$
MR. INIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to move to the Ordinary Disability application for Dawn Hoard.

MR. PINELLI: If I might, before we proceed with the next two, $I$ think perhaps an Executive Session would be appropriate.

MS. BURNS: Motion to go into closed session pursuant to Section 2(c) 4 and 2(c)11 of the Open Meetings Act.

MEMBER MARTIN: That would be my motion then.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Conyears-Ervin.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
(Whereupon, the Board went into
executive session off the record.
No action was taken in Executive Session.)

MEMBER MARTIN: Is Dawn Hoard present?
Is she on the phone?

MS. HOARD: I am on the phone now.
MEMBER MARTIN: Okay, Ms. Hoard.

Let the record reflect, Mr. President,
that this is the Ordinary Disability application for Dawn Hoard.

Dawn, as you can tell, $I$ don't know if you can see but Vince Pinelli is the Fund counsel. He will be conducting this hearing. Without anything further, Vince.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

Good morning, Ms. Hoard.

MS. HOARD: Good morning.
MR. PINELLI: As you have been told, I am one of the attorneys handing this matter for the
record. I'd like to advise you of some preliminary matters before we start evidence.

First of all, there are seven trustees currently present on the call to hear the evidence in this matter. If you don't know you should know you need the yes vote of at least five of the seven trustees in order to receive this benefit. Do you understand that?

MS. HOARD: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Also, I see you do not have an attorney representing you in this matter, though, any applicant may have an attorney. Is it your desire to proceed without an attorney at this hearing?

MS. HOARD: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Okay. Lastly, it is always the applicant's burden of proof to present sufficient evidence to the Board in order for the Board to grant the benefit that the applicant is seeking. Do you understand it is your burden of proof?

MS. HOARD: DO I understand what?
MR. PINELLI: That it is your burden of proof as the applicant?

MS. HOARD: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Okay. By way of
procedures, $I$ am going to start by asking you some questions under oath. The board members may or may not have questions of you. Then $I$ will call Dr. Samo to testify. If there is anything I don't ask him that you think is important, please let me know and you will be given that opportunity. Do you understand the procedures?

MS. HOARD: Yes.
Can $I$ have your name again, please?
MR. PINELLI: Sure. Vince Pinelli, P-i-n-e-l-1-i.

MS. HOARD: Okay. Thank you.
MR. PINELLI: You're welcome. Then we are ready to proceed. Ms. Hoard and Dr. Samo, could you please both raise your right hands?
(Witness sworn.)
DAWN R. HOARD
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Ms. Hoard, please state your name and
spell your last name.
A My name is Dawn Renee Hoard, H-O-a-r-d.
Q Ms. Hoard, what is your current rank with the Fire Department?

A It is Fire Paramedic.
Q Were you provided a copy of exhibits marked Board Exhibits 1 through 12 prior to today's hearing?

A I do have them, yes.
Q Did you have a chance to review them?
A Yes, I did.
Q Do you have any objection to their admission into the record in support of your application?

A No, I do not. Thank you.
MR. PINELLI: Mr. Chairman, I move for admission of Board's Exhibits 1 through 12, without objection from the applicant.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Admitted without objection.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
(Board Exhibits 1 through 12 were admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Now, Ms. Hoard, you are applying for Ordinary Disability benefits due to certain medical conditions you are currently experiencing; is that correct?

A Yes, it is.
Q Could you briefly describe for the Board what is the nature of the medical condition that you are currently experiencing?

A Well, originally it started out with migraines. I ended up with severe neck pain. It turns out the migraines were triggered by the neck pain. I ended up having a fusion and plate and screws in my C-spine. And then he said I still had damage to other parts of the cervical spine and down the road that -- you know, I shouldn't be carrying heavy things because down the road $I$ would end up having to have another surgery.

Six months into that, I started
experiencing -- I had a real sharp pain in my left arm when $I$ just went to grab something and then it was very sensitive and $I$ had limited motion with that. About the same time I had lumbar pain, back pain. I had no incidents that would have caused
this injury at that time so $I$ don't know. But anyway ended up with MRIs of my shoulder and my lumbar and it turned out that $I$ have bulging and damage to my lumbar spine that the neurosurgeon suggested that $I$ have another fusion. And my left shoulder, $I$ went to see Dr. Ho, I believe his name is, a shoulder specialist. And he said that I had a partial tear to my rotator cuff and frozen shoulder.

So $I$ am in daily pain still and $I$ go to therapy twice a week every week and I am just in pain every day.

Q Ms. Hoard, when did you have that surgery?

A February 17 th .
Q Of this year?
A Of '20, yes.
Q Thank you. And I take it that these conditions that you described they were not the result of an injury at work, correct?

A I did not claim that. My last call, my last call on shift, $I$ did have -- there was an incident where the -- my last call, we were carrying someone down in a chair stair and my
partner hoisted up his bottom end of it, which I jerked on my -- and I felt a pinch in my neck and my back. It wasn't severe enough to me that -- you know, $I$ was just like, oh, $I$ will get over it. But it was that very next day after I slept that day that $I$ got the migraines.

Now, $I$ am not claiming it on duty.
Q We need to be clear because you have applied for an Ordinary Disability, which is by its - -

A Right.
Q -- nature, not caused by a duty related injury.

A Right. I am just claiming Ordinary Disability, yes.

Q For the injuries that are causing you to be disabled; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.
Since you went on layup have you engaged in any activities by which you earn income?

A No.
Q Have you engaged in any sporting activities?

A No.
Q Do you have any appointments scheduled with a doctor who performed the surgery on you?

A The neurosurgeon, no. No. His recommendation was that $I$ call him if $I$ had any other problems, you know, as far as any other new things or if $I$ decide to have the lumbar surgery.

Q Okay.
A Which -- yeah.
MR. PINELLI: Alright. Fine. That is all the questions $I$ have at this time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any questions? Hearing none.

MR. PINELLI: I would call Dr. Samo to testify.
(Witness previously sworn.)
DANIEL SAMO, M.D.
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION
BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Sir, please state your name.
A Daniel Samo.
Q You are a physician; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Is a copy of your qualifications as a physician attached to the Board Exhibits?

A Yes.
Q Do you perform a function as a consultant to this fund?

A Yes.
Q In that capacity, do you review medical records, examine applicants and report to the Board?

A Yes.

Q Did you follow that procedure with respect to Ms. Hoard?

A Yes.
Q And due to the current Covid conditions, did you conduct a telephone interview of her as opposed to an actual examination?

A Yes.

Q Was the information that she provided to you in that interview consistent with the medical records that you reviewed?

A Yes.
Q Doctor, can you just summarize for us briefly what is her current condition?

A Her current problems really had to do with -- the neck problem was really resolved with the surgery. Currently she has left shoulder pain. There seems to be some adhesive capsulitis, which is scarring around there, which limits the motion, that causes painful motion. Some low back pain and stiffness and the migraine headaches.

Q Based upon that symptomatology, would you believe she could perform her duties with the Fire Department?

A No.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, doctor. That is all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any
questions for the doctor?
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: No questions.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Okay. Thank you.
MR. PINELLI: That is the conclusion of evidence in this matter.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Motion to grant.
MEMBER MARTIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion to grant by Trustee McPhillips. Seconded by Trustee Martin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I make a
motion for reexam consistent with the Fund's policy.

MEMBER SONI: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion by Trustee Martin for reexam. Seconded by Trustee Soni.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.

MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I'd like
to make a motion to adopt the Findings of Fact as presented by Fund counsel.

MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Soni.
MEMBER SONI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips. MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.

Dawn, based on the Findings of Fact made by the Trustees, the Trustees have voted to grant the benefit that you have requested. You will notified by mail of the Findings of Fact and the Board's decision.

Thank you and good luck.
MS. HOARD: Thank you so much.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I would like to move to the Occupational Disease Disability application for Ricardo Gomez, Firefighter, Engine 7.

Is Ricardo online or on the phone?
MR. GOMEZ: Yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, let the record reflect that this is the Occupational Disability application for Ricardo Gomez, Firefighter, Engine 7.

Ricardo, the next person talking will be the Fund counsel Vince Pinelli. He is going to be conducting this hearing. Without anything further, Vince.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
Good morning, Mr. Gomez.
MR. GOMEZ: Good morning.
MR. PINELLI: As you have been told, $I$ am one of the attorneys for the Fund. I will be conducting this hearing for the record. I'd like to advise you of some preliminary matters before we start evidence.

First of all, there are seven trustees currently present to hear the evidence on your application. If you don't know you should know that the Pension Code requires that you get the yes vote of at least five of those seven trustees in order to receive this benefit. Do you understand that?

MR. GOMEZ: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: I also see from the record that you do not have an attorney representing you. Though, like any applicant, you do have the ability to have an attorney represent you, if you so
choose. Is it your desire to proceed without an attorney today?

MR. GOMEZ: Correct.
MR. PINELLI: Lastly, it is always the applicant's burden of proof to present sufficient evidence to the Board in order for the Board to grant the benefit that the applicant is seeking. Do you understand as the applicant it is your burden of proof?

MR. GOMEZ: Correct.
MR. PINELLI: Okay. By way of procedures, $I$ am going to start by asking you some questions under oath. The board members may or may not have questions of you. Then $I$ will call Dr. Samo, the Board's physician consultant, to testify. If there is anything $I$ don't ask him that you think is important for the Board to know, please let me know and you will be given that opportunity. Do you understand?

MR. GOMEZ: Thank you.
MR. PINELLI: Do you understand the procedures?

MR. GOMEZ: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Okay. Then we are ready to
proceed with testimony. Mr. Gomez and Dr. Samo, can you please raise your right hands?
(Witnesses sworn.)

RICARDO GOMEZ
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. PINELLI:

Q Mr. Gomez, just state your name for the record, please.

A Ricardo Gomez.

Q Sir, what is your rank with the Fire Department?

A (Single Role) Firefighter.

Q Sir, prior to today's date, you should have been provided a copy of the Board's exhibits marked 1 through 10 from the Fund staff. Did you receive those?

A Yes.

Q Did you have an opportunity to review them?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any objection to their admission into the record for the trustees to
review in support of your application?
A No.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
Mr. Chairman, I move for admission of
Board Exhibits 1 through 10, without objection from the applicant.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Admitted without objection.
(Board Exhibits 1 through 10
were admitted into evidence.)
MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Mr. Gomez, you are applying for an Occupational Disease Disability benefit based upon certain medical conditions that you have. Can you just tell the Board what those are?

A I have chronic asthma as well as chronic hypertension. Did you want me to state, before I spoke to the doctor, any other medications or treatment that $I$ am receiving?

Q We will get there in one second.
A Okay.
Q I just wanted to know the conditions first and you mentioned the asthma --

A Chronic asthma.
Q And the hypertension, correct?
A Yes.
Q Anything else besides that?

A No, sir.
Q Now, sir, have you completed more than seven years of service with the Fire Department?

A Absolutely. 15 years, sir.
Q You came on in 2005; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Now with respect to these conditions, did you have them before you came on the Department?

A No.

Q Okay. So they only came to your attention after you started; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Can you tell us when were you first diagnosed with these conditions?

A It's been some time now. It has progressively gotten worse. You know, more frequent exacerbations with my asthma. You know, the difficulty breathing, the wheezing, bronchial spasms, you know, coughing, that all started years
ago. I was hospitalized with respiratory
infection, if $I$ am not mistaken, back in 2010 and from that point moving forward it just seems to have progressively gotten worse over the years.

And $I$ continued to work. Nobody wants to apply for disability, Chairman. But at this point in my career, my doctor and myself and the doctors at the Fire Academy South, $I$ think we all agree that it is the best thing for me and my family and just to make sure that, you know, $I$ don't become a statistic.

I am quoting my doctor. My next fire might be my last.

I wish $I$ didn't have to apply for disability, chairman and board members, but you know the time has come because my life is more important than anything else and $I$ just want to state that to the board as well as to the doctors. And I also --

Q Go ahead. Was there something else you wanted to say? I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off.

A I just wanted to state it is not just my doctors but $I$ am sure the doctors at Fire Academy

South as well, they seen, you know, me trending downward for the last few years not only with my chronic asthma but also my chronic hypertension.

At one point they sent the company down there to take me to the hospital a few years ago because my hypertension was so high they were afraid that $I$ was going to, you know, stroke out or something like that.

And just recently over the last few years, $I$ have noticed -- you know, again you just continue to work and pray to god that you get well. But, you know, you just notice that after every fire just the coughing and the wheezing and you know just difficulty breathing, the shortness of breath.

You know, I gave it my all. I gave them 15 good years. Worked with some great people, great companies. You know. I just hope that everybody takes all of that into consideration, chairman and board. Thank you.

Q Thank you. A couple more questions, sir, and I am almost done.

So the most current time that you went on layup $I$ show in the records from Board Exhibit 5
that was February 28 th, is that correct, of 2020?
A February $28 t h$, Chairman, is that what you said?

Q Yes.
A That sounds correct, it was in February.
Q Okay. I stand corrected. The report is dated February 28 th but it now looks like the layup date was February 19th. Does that sound right?

A Right. I know it was in mid-February, latter part.

Q It also indicates your chief complaint is a respiratory infection; is that correct?

A Correct. Respiratory infections over the past few years.

Q Okay. When you went on layup that day, were you on duty or were you laying up from home?

A Right, I laid up from home.
Q Okay. Alright. Thank you. Just a couple more questions. Can you give the board members just a brief chronology from when you came on in 2005 to this current layup what assignments you had? Where have you been assigned to work?

A I have been assigned to Truck 35, Engine 57, Engine 7, Engine 110, Engine 86 and I think
that is it and Engine 89 if $I$ am not mistaken. Yes, 89. But I spent most of my career on the west side at Engine 57 like the first half of my career. Some great years there with some great people.

Q Now, sir, since you went on layup in February, have you engaged in any activity by which you earn income?

A Absolutely not.
Q Have you engaged in any sporting activities of any kind?

A No.
Q Are you currently -- now to the question you brought up earlier. Are you currently taking any medications prescribed by your doctors?

A Yes, $I$ am taking many medications. You guys might have them in front of you. But along with, you know, my chronic asthma, which $I$ will live with it seems like for the rest of my life, $I$ use an inhaler. I use Flonase. I use the Advair and Wixela; steroids. Using Singulair Montelukast, which is the same medication just different brands. I am also using the Medrol pack it seems like every other month. As a matter of fact, $I$ was just recently prescribed another Medrol pack because I
had another exacerbation with my asthma. Also using Prednisone and also the nasal Flonase as well.

MR. PINELLI: Okay. Alright. Thank you.
That is all the questions $I$ have at this time.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any
questions?
MR. GOMEZ: I didn't know if I should ask the doctor, Chairman. But $I$ also started treatment with a nebulizer with the Albuterol solution. I am also using the nebulizer machine with the Albuterol solution.

MR. PINELLI: Okay. Thank you. No further questions. If there are no questions from the board, $I$ would then call Dr. Samo.
(Witness previously sworn.)
DANIEL SAMO, M.D.
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION
BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Sir, please state your name.
A Daniel Samo.
Q You are a physician; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q A copy of your qualifications are attached to the board exhibits?

A Yes.
Q Do you perform a function as a physician consultant to this fund?

A Yes.

Q In that capacity, do you review medical reports, examine or interview applicants and report to the board?

A Yes.

Q Did you follow that procedure with respect to Mr . Gomez?

A Yes.
Q And due to the current Covid conditions, did you examine him or just do a phone interview?

A It was a telephone interview.
Q Based upon that interview, was the information he provided to you consistent with the medical records you had reviewed?

A Yes.
Q Doctor, can you briefly summarize for us, if you would, what his current symptomatology is and condition?

A He has some pulmonary problems since 2010. Actually, when he came on his pulmonary function test was mildly abnormal but $I$ didn't see any further workup of that. He also had elevated blood pressure. All that began about 2010.

Diagnosis of bronchitis, asthma, pneumonia, various things. He's had several episodes of that along the way.

Currently he continues to have respiratory symptoms. Wheezing, shortness of breath, with exertion. Also his blood pressure remains out of control, very high.

Q Doctor, did you see any evidence in the record post 2010 of any pulmonary function testing of any kind?

A No, there was no further testing. CFD Medical asked for some tests to be done. His doctor responded that he was not a pulmonologist and therefore couldn't order the tests. I am not sure why. So nothing was done. He was referred to a pulmonologist but $I$ didn't see any record that he ever followed through on that.

Q Who referred him to the pulmonologist?
A His primary care doctor. Dr. Lee I
believe his name was.

Q I'm sorry, you cut out.

A Dr. Lee was his primary care doctor.

MR. GOMEZ: Can $I$ interject, Chairman?

Just due to the whole Covid pandemic, that $I$ was also advised that $I$ shouldn't go to a hospital just for some tests. Because $I$ tried to get it done in the office but they said that $I$ would have to go to a hospital. Because $I$ am such high risk because of the pandemic, because of my asthma and my hypertension, it's impossible to go to the hospital and risk catching Covid, which could lead to my demise. So there is no way $I$ would put myself in a situation, when $I$ am at home taking care of myself, to go into a hospital and take the chance of catching this disease. And, you know, at the very worse pass away. At the very least be very ill. So that is the reason for that.

But he did perform the office pulmonary test and it was like a point ten or something or a point twenty, which he said was not good. That should be in the records.

But $I$ just wanted to make that clear, it's only been due to the Covid pandemic that $I$ had
to steer away from being around any hospital or any sick people in a hospital or I might be susceptible to catching this Covid virus and becoming very ill and maybe also it might lead to my demise. Again, because of my asthma and hypertension.

MR. PINELLI: Okay, thank you, Mr. Gomez for clarifying that.

I have no further questions of the doctor. Do you have any questions of the doctor?

MEMBER MARTIN: Dr. Samo, I was looking at Firefighter Gomez's layup summary and do any of those layups seem to relate to the hypertension or asthma? It doesn't appear so to me.

DOCTOR SAMO: I'd have to get to that page first.

MR. PINELLI: It is Board Exhibit 4.
DOCTOR SAMO: Exhibit 4. Not that $I$
could tell but I mean there is all these things that were non-duty. I don't know what they are.

He did tell me, and the medical records indicated, that he was laid up a couple times for both his pulmonary symptoms and his hypertension.

MEMBER MARTIN: That was the last one in
'19; is that correct?

DOCTOR SAMO: That was a stomach illness.
He had a layup for blood pressure in 2014. The record -- I don't have them right in front of me. Those were the years he had a couple of layups for bronchitis, pneumonia and asthma. I don't know specifically which dates.

MEMBER MARTIN: Is that it then, Vince?
MR. PINELLI: Yes. I have no further questions of the doctor or the applicant.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, at this time $I$ would like to make a motion to grant.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion to grant by Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Seconded by Trustee McPhillips.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: No.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.

MEMBER MURPHY: NO.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia. MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes. MS. BURNS: Is Trustee Soni on the line? MEMBER MARTIN: No, she had to leave. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: The motion did not pass.

MR. PINELLI: The motion would not pass with only four yes votes. He would need five under the Code.

MR. GOMEZ: So at this point if it was not granted do $I$ need to hire an attorney? $I$ just have a question. Why wouldn't it pass? I am listening to all these other people. I have chronic asthma. I have chronic hypertension. And you are saying there is no record of this. There is years of respiratory infections, bronchitis, which is all asthma. It just all developed over the years into chronic asthma. There's a lot of records showing bronchitis, respiratory infections. I don't understand why the board would negate --

MR. SWANSON: Sir, this is Steve Swanson, the Executive Director, here. We do need to move
forward.
MR. GOMEZ: Okay.
MR. SWANSON: You presented your evidence to the trustees.

MR. GOMEZ: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Based on the evidence we have heard and considered, the trustees have voted to deny the benefit you have requested. You will be notified by mail of the decision, Findings of Fact and the board's decision. Thank you.

MR. PINELLI: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, may $I$ interject?

MR. GOMEZ: I just have a question. I mean so this means $I$ will no longer have any means -- I mean $I$ gave 15 years of my life to this Department and now $I$ have no insurance. I will have no benefits. 15 years at busy companies working hard for this Department and this is how $I$ am treated?

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, he can consult an attorney.

MR. PINELLI: If I may --
MR. GOMEZ: Excuse me. I need advice. I need advice.

MS. BURNS: Hold on, Mr. Gomez. We're not allowed to give you advice. But, Vince, make your final statement and then, Mr . Gomez, we will be in touch with you. Go ahead, Vince.

MR. PINELLI: My final statement is a procedural one that because the motion failed now under the Howe decision there must be a motion to deny and then that motion once made would be voted on and then that would be the decision subsequent to be followed up with a written Finding of Fact.

Before concluding the record here today on this matter, there would need to be a motion to deny and then that needed to be voted on by the trustees.

MS. BURNS: Trustee Martin, are you willing to make a motion to deny the benefit requested by Mr . Gomez?

MEMBER MARTIN: Yes, that will be my motion. I make a motion to deny.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Okay. Is there a second?

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: I will second it.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a second by Trustee McPhillips.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: No.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: No.
TRUSTEE CONYEARS-ERVIN: Wait.
MS. BURNS: Trustee Conyears-Ervin, you want to be a no, ma'am, correct?

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: No.
Thank you.
MS. BURNS: You are welcome.
And you are a no, right?
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Yes.
MS. BURNS: Mr. Gomez, you will receive in the mail a written statement that lays out the reasons why the board voted to deny you the benefit. You will then have 35 days from the date of that letter to file what is called an

Administrative Review where a judge will make an independent review of the record in support of your case.

If you have questions regarding that process, you can call the Fund office and ask to speak to Lori Lund, who is the Deputy Executive Director, and she will walk you through the process and help your lawyer get the documentation he or she needs.

We are available, Lori, if you need any help in servicing Mr. Gomez.

MEMBER MARTIN: Joseph Polanco, are you on the phone or on the Zoom?

MR. POLANCO: Yes, this is Joseph Polanco.

MEMBER MARTIN: Very good. Joseph, hello and welcome.

For the record this is the Duty Disability Benefit application for Joseph Polanco. He's an Ambulance Commander for Ambulance 62.

Joseph, also, you can see or hear Vince Pinelli. He is the Fund's counsel and he will be conducting the hearing for the Fund.

Without anything further, Vince.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
Good morning, Mr. Polanco.
As you have been told, I am one of the attorneys for the Fund. I will be conducting this hearing for the record. I'd like to advise you of some preliminary matters before we start evidence.

First of all, there are seven trustees present to hear the evidence on your application. The Pension Code requires that you get the yes vote of at least five of those seven trustees in order to receive this benefit. Do you understand that?

MR. POLANCO: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: In addition, $I$ see you did not have an attorney file an appearance for you in this matter. Is it your desire to proceed without an attorney?

MR. POLANCO: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Lastly, it is the
applicant's burden of proof to present sufficient evidence to the board in order for the Board to grant a benefit. Do you understand as the applicant it is your burden of proof?

MR. POLANCO: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: By way of procedures, I am
going to start by asking you some questions under oath. The board members may or may not have questions of you. Then $I$ will call Doctor Peters to testify. If there is anything I don't ask him that you think is important for the Board to know, please let me know and you will be given that opportunity. Do you understand the procedures?

MR. POLANCO: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Then we are ready to proceed. Mr. Polanco and Dr. Peters, please raise your right hands.
(Witness sworn.)
JOSEPH M. POLANCO
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Mr. Polanco, please state your name and spell your last name for the record?

A Joseph Polanco, P-o-l-a-n-c-o.
Q What's your rank with the Fire Department?

A Ambulance Commander.
Q What was your last assignment before you
went on layup?
A Ambulance 62.

Q Did you receive a copy of what's been marked as Board Exhibits 1 through 14 prior to today's date?

A Yes.
Q Did you have a chance to review them?
A Yes.
Q Do you have any objection to their admission into the record in support of your application?

A No.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
Mr. President, I move for admission of
Board Exhibits 1 through 14, without objection from the applicant.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Admitted without objection.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
(Board Exhibits 1 through 14 were admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Mr. Polanco, your application indicates that you sustained an injury, that is the basis of
your application, on October 25th of 2019; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Understanding the Board has your written statement to review, could you please briefly summarize for us where you were and what happened to you on that date?

A On October 25th, we were transporting a patient that was found unconscious and breathing on a CTA bus stop. We suspected it was drug related. We proceeded to transport him to Northwestern Hospital.

While we were approaching the hospital, inside the bay, he managed to unseatbelt himself and he lunged forward at me while $I$ was sitting on the bench and he attacked me.

We ended up wrestling. He reached for the doors and managed to push me out the door and he stepped over me.

I ended up injuring my left shoulder and then $I$ was seen in the $E R$ soon after.

Q Had you ever injured that shoulder prior to that incident?

A No.
$\square$
Q The records seem to indicate that following the incident you had some conservative treatment but that was not able to repair your injury to the point you could return to work, correct?

A That is correct. I was seen at Northwestern and they diagnosed me with a strained left side pec strain, a shoulder and arm pain. X-rays were taken. Showed no dislocation. And then to followup with an ortho.

Through Medical I was set up with Dr. Portland at IBJ. He suggested that we do some therapy. Therapy was not successful and then a MRI ensued.

Q Okay. So subsequent the MRI revealed that you had a tear, tendon tears, inside that shoulder, including your biceps; is that correct?

A That is correct. I suffer three major tears, including a frayed biceps injury.

Q Okay. And then it was recommended and you did undergo an initial surgery on January 31st of this year, correct?

A That is correct. January 31st was the surgery at IBJ. It was successful according to Dr.

Portland. We went ahead and I was operated on. I was put in a sling and then physical therapy resumed.

Shortly after physical therapy, after like four or five weeks or so of therapy, I noticed that I was not getting any better. I was feeling certain limitations, some weakness. Strength was not coming back. And my therapist recommended me that I should notify my ortho of my concerns, that he suspected that $I$ might have had it re-torn.

Q And then you did that and subsequently as a result did you undergo a revision surgery on July 27 th of this year?

A That is correct. Following with Dr. Portland's advice, he just wanted to do some cortisone shots and more physical therapy. I requested a second opinion with Doctor Nicholson at Rush-Pres Ortho. Completely different treatment plan. He suggested that we go in there and see how the tendon looks, how healthy it looked and go from there and so we did the revision. He was happy with the tendon. He was able to put my shoulder back together again and now at this time $I$ am back to therapy.

I have been experiencing still weakness, some numbness, poor strength, the shoulder still locks in place at times and clicking, arm fatigue. I have a problem reaching, lifting, getting dressed, doing housework, simple housework.

So I am still experiencing a lot of the same issues that $I$ have been experiencing since the first surgery.

Q Okay. Since you went on layup, have you engaged in any activities by which you earn income?

A No.
Q Have you engaged in any sporting activities?

A No.
Q Are you currently taking any medications prescribed by a doctor for pain?

A I was initially taking some pain medication, like hydrocodone. From time to time I may take some Tramadol after therapy. But those are the only medications for pain that $I$ am taking at this time.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you. That is all the questions $I$ have of the applicant.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any

```
questions?
```

                    MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: No questions.
                    MR. PINELLI: I would call Dr. Peters to
    testify.
(Witness previously sworn.)
MICHAEL I. PETERS, M.D.
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:
EXAMINATION
BY MR. PINELLI:
Q Sir, state your name.
A Michael I. Peters.
Q Is a copy of your qualifications as a
physician attached to the Board Exhibits?
A Yes.
Q Do you perform a function as a consultant
to this fund?
A Yes, I do.
Q In that capacity do you review medical
records, examine or interview applicants and report
to the Board?
A Yes.
Q Did you follow that procedure with
respect to Mr. Polanco?

A Yes, I did.
Q Is a copy of your written report to the Board marked as Board Exhibit 2?

A Yes.
Q Doctor, did you conduct a telephone interview of him?

A Yes, I did.
Q And was the information he provided you in that interview consistent with the medical records you reviewed?

A Yes, it was.
Q Can you briefly summarize for us what the state of his current condition is?

A He sustained a tear, as he mentioned, three different areas but specifically the supraspinatus tendon, which was repaired the first time, re-torn and then unfortunately became retracted with muscle atrophy. So when Doctor Nicholson did the second surgery, that left Mr . Polanco with a difficult rehab. So he still doesn't have full range of motion or strength of the left shoulder.

Q As a result of that would he be able to perform his duties with the fire department?

A No.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you, that is all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any
questions for the doctor?
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: No questions.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I would like to make a motion to grant.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There's a motion to grant by Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Valencia.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.

MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I'd like
to make a motion for reexam consistent with the Fund's policy.

MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion for reexam by
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mr. President, I'd like to make a motion to adopt the Findings of Fact as presented by Fund counsel.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee
Martin. Seconded by Trustee Conyears-Ervin.

$\square$
MEMBER MARTIN: Let the record reflect this is the Duty Disability application for Adetola Bey, EMT, Firefighter, Truck 24.

Without anything further, Vince Pinelli,
the Fund attorney, is going to be conducting this hearing. Vince.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Good morning, Mr. Bey.

MR. BEY: Good morning.
MR. PINELLI: As you have been told, I am one of the attorneys for the Pension Fund. I will be conducting this hearing for the record.

Let me start by advising you of some preliminary matters. There are currently seven trustees present to hear the evidence on your application. If you don't know you should know you need the yes vote per the Pension Code of at five of those seven trustees in order to get this benefit. Do you understand that?

MR. BEY: Yes.

MR. PINELLI: I see you do not have an attorney representing you. Is it your desire to proceed without an attorney?

MR. BEY: Yes.

MR. PINELLI: Lastly, it is the applicant's burden of proof to present sufficient evidence to the Board in order for the Board to grant a benefit. Do you understand it is your burden of proof?

MR. BEY: Yes, $I$ understand the burden of proof.

MR. PINELLI: By way of procedures, I am going to start by asking you some questions under oath. The board members may or may not have questions. I will then call the Fund's physician consultant Dr. Peter's to testify. If there is anything $I$ don't ask him that you think is important, let me know and you will be given that opportunity. Do you understand the procedures?

MR. BEY: Yes.
MR. PINELLI: Then we are ready to proceed. Could you and Dr. Peters please raise your right hands and be sworn in?
(Witness sworn.)

## ADETOLA BEY

a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
$\square$
BY MR. PINELLI:

Q Sir, please state your name for the record.

A Adetola Bey.
Q Just spell your first name for the record, please.

A A-d-e-t-o-1-a.
Q Thank you. Now what is your rank with the Fire Department?

A Firefighter EMT.
Q What was your last assignment before you went on layup?

A Truck 24.

Q Did you receive a copy of what's been marked as Board Exhibits 1 through 14 prior to today's date?

A Yes.
Q Did you have a chance to review them?
A Yes.
Q Do you have any objection to their admission into the record in support of your application?

A No.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you.
Mr. Chairman, $I$ move for admission of
Board Exhibits 1 through 14 , without objection .
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Admitted without
objection.
MR. PINELII: Thank you.
(Board Exhibits 1 through 14
were admitted into evidence.)

Q You are applying for this Duty Disability benefit based upon injuries that you sustained on September 24, of 2019; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Understanding that the Board has your written sworn statement in front of them, could you just briefly describe for us the circumstances of where you were and how you were injured and what happened to you?

A I was on shift and we were called for extrication of a child that was locked in the car by her mother. So we were forcing the car door open. In the process of forcing the car door open, I heard the pop in my lower back and you know I fell back and fell down and $I$ was -- the pain went down my right leg and after we got done getting the
kid out when $I$ got back to the firehouse the ambulance took me to the emergency room from the firehouse. I was injured while removing a kid out of a locked car.

Q That part of your body; your right leg, right hip, back area, had your ever injured that before that date?

A No. No. Completely healthy.
Q Subsequent to that date you then underwent some conservative treatment in an attempt to get you back to work; is that correct?

A Well, they sent me to therapy after the MRI.

Q Right. That is what --
A Yes. It was therapy. Yes, it was physical therapy. Yes.

Q That did not alleviate your symptoms; is that correct?

A Well, the lower back healed completely but the problem was that the lower back was healing but my hip never stopped hurting. Therapy kept telling Dr. Troy that there was something wrong with my hip and he wouldn't listen so we finally forced the issue to get a MRI on my hip and that
was when it was discovered that there was also an injury there as well.

Q That showed several tears in your right hip; is that right?

A Yeah. In the labrum of my hip.
Q Okay. As a result of that, did you then undergo a surgical procedure to repair those tears?

A Yeah. The MRI was in January, if I remember right. The surgery wasn't until May 27 th because the first doctor quit the practice. The second and third doctor $I$ went to see they felt they weren't competent enough to do the surgery so I was referred to Dr. Nho, which was the fourth doctor. I saw him the beginning of May and I had the surgery May 27 th .

Q Can you describe for the board members currently what if any symptoms or limitations you are experiencing following the surgery?

A Postop my abductor in my leg is extremely painful and it is tight. The outer part of my hip is like -- it is a painful spot there to the point -- I normally sleep on my right side. I can't sleep on my right side any more because when I lay on it it wakes me up. So I have trouble sleeping
at night because if $I$ lay on my right side $I$ wakeup constantly.

And then $I$ have a slight pain in the front of my hip. Dr. Nho said it is probably just scar tissue, you know, without getting any sort of further imaging, that is what he said.

Again, those are the issues that $I$ am having.
Lateral movements are painful for me. If I have to squat down low, like if $I$ was going to pick up a heavy patient off the floor, that would probably be you know an issue at this point.

Q Did Dr. Nho tell you whether you're at what they call Maximum Medical Improvement yet following this surgery or do you have to wait longer for that?

A No, he hasn't disclosed that to me. I actually saw him yesterday and $I$ am not pleased because he is not happy with Sports and Ortho my current physical therapy assignment. So what he's doing is he is transferring my physical therapy to Rush. I live on the south side so it is far away from here. So now starting December 9th I will be going to Rush Physical Therapy because he feels Sports and Ortho is got getting the progress that
he desires. So he thinks that Rush Physical Therapy is going to get me back on track and then maybe $I$ can go back to Sports and Ortho for work conditioning. But $I$ have to go to Rush Physical Therapy for at least a month and then $I$ have to go however long work conditioning is. Two or three weeks after that. And then he says that my abductor he has no idea when it is going to stop hurting. There is like there's no light at the end of this tunnel. Sometimes when $I$ am just sitting around it hurts so.

Q It sounds like, though, it is your desire to try to go get back, get it rehabilitated and to get back to work, though, is that your goal?

A Yes. I have been working hard. I go to therapy three times a week. You know. I have been working extremely hard but for some reason my body is not responding to the treatment, that is why he suggested $I$ get a fresh set of eyes as far as physical therapy for me to see if they can do something different for me that my body would start responding to. I would love to go back to the job.

Q Since you went on layup as a result of this incident, have you engaged in any activity by
which you earn income?
A No.
Q Have you engaged in any sporting
activities?
A No.
Q Are you currently taking any pain medications prescribed by a physician?

A No.
MR. PINELLI: Thank you, sir, that is all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any
questions?
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: None.
MR. PINELLI: I call Dr. Peters.
(Witness previously sworn.)
MICHAEL I. PETERS, M.D.
a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION
BY MR. PINELLI:

Q Please, state your name.
A Michael I. Peters.
Q You're a physician; is that correct?
A Yes.
$\square$ to the Board Exhibits?

A Yes, they are.
Q Do you perform a function as a consultant to this fund?

A Yes, I do.
Q In that capacity do you review medical records, examine or interview applicants and report to the Board?

A Yes.
Q Did you follow that procedure with respect to Mr. Bey?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did you file a written report with the Board that is marked as Board Exhibit 2 ?

A Yes, I did.
Q Doctor, could you please just summarize for us the current state of Mr. Bey's condition?

A Mr. Bey underwent a right hip labral repair that was a result of the extrication from the motor vehicle in September of 2019. And that is a technically difficult surgical repair, that is why he had such a long delay in having it done. In the Chicago area there is probably a handful of
surgeons who can perform that procedure.
He's made very good recovery but he isn't at MMI. He has quite a bit of pain and stiffness as well as decrease in range of motion, specifically with lunging, due to the abductor muscle tightness.

Q Doctor, based on your knowledge, what would typically be a period for MMI following this type of surgery, if there is a typical one?

A I think he had a good prognosis for recovery. Six months would probably be what would be considered average but that doesn't mean that everybody is better in six months and it doesn't mean everybody has a full recovery.

He had a very large tear. It is something that is not easily fixed. He has done very well but he's not 100 percent.

Q Based upon the fact that he's not 100 percent, would he be able to perform his duties with the Fire Department?

A No, he wouldn't be able to do full fire fighting duties, not at this time.

MR. PINELLI: Thank you. That is all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Any questions for the doctor?

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: No questions.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Hearing none.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Motion to grant.
MEMBER MARTIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion to
grant by Trustee Valencia. Seconded by Trustee Martin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to make a motion
for reexam consistent with the Fund policy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Second.
$\square$
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee Murphy.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
MEMBER MARTIN: I would like to make a
motion to adopt the Findings of Fact as presented by Fund counsel.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion by
Trustee Martin. Seconded by Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Mr. Bey, based on the Findings of Fact made by the Trustees, the Trustees have voted to grant the benefit that you have requested. You will be notified by mail of the Findings of Fact and the Board's decision.

Thank you and good luck.
MR. BEY: Thank you. I appreciate it.
MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to move back to
the regular order of business. Let's go to Item 6.
I will turn it over to Steve to provide a little bit of background on the auditor RFP process to-date and who we are going to hear from today.

MR. SWANSON: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
We are going to hear from two firms today.
$\square$
Marc, if you can get them into the meeting, $I$ would certainly appreciate that. If you can also share your screen and have the presentation ready, that will be great as well.

We have two finalists from our RFP process that we conducted starting in the summer. Mitchell Titus will be the first firm to present.

Let me know, Marc, when you get them up. The second firm will be Legacy Professionals.

MS. BURNS: Might I suggest respectfully that we limit their presentation time to ten minutes.

MR. ADEGOKE: Good morning.
MR. SWANSON: Thank you for joining us.
We have six of our trustees present for your presentation. We are glad you could make it today. Sorry for the delay, our hearings took a little longer than expected, but that is important business of the Fund. We have to get through that.

We ask if you can limit your remarks to ten minutes today and then we can address any questions the Trustees may have for you after that. So if you would like to take it away your presentation is up there and if you'd like to
introduce your team as well. Thank you.
MR. ADEGOKE: I want to thank you for inviting us to present our proposal. My name is Kenny Adegoke. I am Executive Director at Mitchell \& Titus. I have with me today Garfield Carr, who is the other manager.

We provided a placemat, which is our way OF presenting a proposal. It pretty much summarizes the subject for discussion and we will go through them quickly and then wait to answer any questions that you may have.

I will start the presentation by giving a high level overview of our firm. Mitchell \& Titus is the largest minority owned accounting firm in the country, with over approximately 120 professional staff. And we provide various accounting and audit services to various industries, which includes not-for-profit, government, corporations, financial institutions and employee benefits and trusts. Employee benefit audience is a big part of our services and represents over 30 percent of our total business.

We have four offices across the country, which includes Chicago, New York, Washington, D.C.
and Philadelphia.
About two years ago Mitchell \& Titus merged with Washington Pittman \& McKeever, which is a prominent accounting firm in the Chicago area, which some of you may know, and that kind solidified the presence of Mitchell \& Titus in the Chicago area.

For our history, Washington, Pittman \& McKeever was founded by Mary $T$. Washington, who was the first female African American CPA in the country. We are very proud of that history at the firm.

We are a very diversified firm and we are committed to providing equal opportunity without regard to race, ethic background, religion or gender.

Our professional staff consists of 58 percent women and a total of 85 percent minorities staff. We are MBE certified by the National Minorities Supplier Diversity Council.

With respect to employee benefit plans, we have significant experience and we have provided services to a lot of firms both within Chicago and outside Chicago and other parts of the country.
Our current Chicagoland clients include
Chicago Transit Authority; CTA, the Policemen's
Annuity and Benefit Fund of Chicago and Chicago
Housing Authority.
There are several other plans that we
have done in the past, that includes the RTA and
the MPEA. Some of those that we have served in the
past

We are thankful for our business relationships that we have had with most of these clients and most of these clients we served for several years and providing good services to these clients.

Moving on to Page 2 of the document, to the left we highlight the value that we bring to your entity. We highlight some of the resources and what makes Mitchell \& Titus unique as well as the overall audit approach and methodology.

With respect to the value that we bring, we believe that we have significant experience for managing these types of audit and customize to your plan. This will help us to provide you with first class professional services.

We always emphasize the communication in
our jobs and we work with our clients so that in the end there is no surprises. We also stick with the timeline. We hold frequent status calls to keep you abreast of what is going on and we coordinate and work with all the parties involved, which includes the other aspects of the operation and also including the actuarial consultants.

We utilize a risk base audit and at this point $I$ will have Garfield speak to the overall approach and methodology. Garfield.

MR. CARR: Thank you, very much, Kenny.
We pretty much have a four-phase audit methodology. Phase 1 , starting with the planning and risk identification, which is codeveloped general planning identification according to its significance. That is our first phase with regards to the audit. We start our preliminary evaluation.

Phase 2 deals with our strategy and assessment. That's understanding and evaluating the internal controls, identifying risk and related significant accounts and define the audit responses to address those risks.

Our internal control process will consist of inquiries, observations where at all possible,
it is a little bit challenging this year with Covid taking place. Just getting a general understanding of the policies and procedures as well as the internal controls that have been developed by the Fund to serve their members.

Phase 3 will consist of the execution, which is to develop and execute our tailored audit approach.

And Phase 4 will be our conclusion and our reporting, which represents the audit results, any required communications and any feedback that we may have with management, any members of the Board, in that fourth and final phase.

We primarily deal with these four phases but throughout these four phases it is an integrated ongoing approach and process of getting an understanding of the Fund, the controls inside of the Fund. How those controls are executed to mitigate or identify any risks of fraud or any internal control breakdowns or deficiencies which may exist or any observations that we may find and bring to the Fund's attention, which may enhance or improve the internal controls of the Fund.

That is the four phases that we deal with
respect to the audit and how we handle and deal with the audit.

In addition to that, you know, with respect to integration, the execution phase, obviously, we will be sending out confirmation as it evolves to all parties involved. That may be the investment coordinators who hold the investments, custody of the investments, as well as any other agencies that the Fund may deal with, with regard to contributions or benefit payments and things of that nature as well as to the actuaries to determine the valuation and look at that reasonableness of it. We also use our own actuaries, which we coordinate, to do an evaluation of the actuarial evaluation for its reasonableness.

That encompasses the four phases of the audit which we have so thank you. Thank you, Kenny.

MR. ADEGOKE: Thank you, Garfield. So at this point we would take any questions that you may have. Thank you. Hopefully, we didn't go over the ten minute limit, Steve.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustees, any
questions?
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I just want to
say good morning and I certainly can appreciate
that you are the largest minority firm and I also
sit on the Police Pension Fund Board and I see that
you also do work with them, CHA, several agencies
within the City of Chicago so you do have
familiarity with Chicago pension funds and its

MR. ADEGOKE: That's correct. Thank you. These are all our current clients. We appreciate the long-term relationships that we have with these clients.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank you.
MR. ADEGOKE: Thank you. Have a nice day.

MR. SWANSON: Thank you, Kenny and Garfield. We appreciate your time.

MR. SWANSON: Marc, can we get Legacy now, please?

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Steve, did we get a diversity chart from this firm?

MR. SWANSON: Yes, we did. We have the diversity chart in board pack. Marc, you can share
that. Which firm would you like to see, Ms. Treasurer?

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: The firm we are on now.

MR. SWANSON: Marc, can you share that table, please?

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Thank you.
MR. SWANSON: I believe we have Colin Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: I am here. Good morning, Steve.

MR. SWANSON: Good morning. You have ten minutes for your presentation. Right now one of the trustees asked to look at your diversity chart and then Marc will share your screen for your presentation.

MR. THOMPSON: Good morning. My name is Colin Thompson. I am the partner in charge of the Firemen's A \& B audit from Legacy Professionals. Also with me here today is Tim Fagan, he is a concurring review partner.

First of all, $I$ just want to say thank you for the opportunity to present this proposal. We look forward to the possibility of continuing
providing services to the fund for the next five years.

We did make it through a challenging 2019 fiscal year audit, with both the pandemic and a work from home order, a change in a significant service provider with your actuary, reinstatement of the health insurance supplement, not to mention that we also had a changeover of our internal audit software.

We did end up making it through the 2019 audit year and I feel that we had a very good product that we issued in conjunction with the Fund itself.

If you could turn to the first page of the slide, please, Marc.

Legacy Professionals. We provide audit, accounting, tax and other services to employee benefit plans, including government plans, non-profits and labor organizations. In fact, 90 percent of our firm's revenue comes from this client base so benefit funds are what we specialize in.

We are headquartered here, in
Westchester, Illinois. Actually, at 4 Westbrook

Corporate Center. We also have an office in Minneapolis and another office in northwest Indiana in Schereville. We service clients in 31 states. We have 28 partners and professionals in the firm and over 145 professionals.

The vast majority of those 28 partners and professionals of the firm started right out of college. It was their first job. Me being one of them. Tim was also one of those partners.

If you turn to the next page, please. Legacy. We get our firm's name from our original firm of Thomas Havey, LLP, which was named after our firm's founder. Tom Havey founded his accounting firm here, in Chicago, in 1948 so we have been here forever. The firm is currently in its third generation of ownership and our homegrown recruits end up becoming the next generation of partners.

Tim and $I$ would be referred to as the third generation and then say somebody that you might see later on in the presentation, those are the eventual owners of the firm as we hand it off to them.

The next page, please. Here's a little
bio on me Colin Thompson. I have been involved at various capacities on the Firemen's A \& B Fund audit for well over a decade.

Government benefit funds are my specialty. I love doing government investment benefit funds. It sounds a little weird, but, yes, I enjoy it quite a bit. And, likewise, I graduated from U of I in 1998 and I started with Thomas Havey back then and $I$ have been with the firm -- it has been my only job ever since.

Next slide, please.
MR. FAGAN: Hi, everyone. I am Tim
Fagan.
I wanted to start out by saying that we truly appreciate the opportunity that you have given to us today to talk about our firm and about ourselves.

Just some quick background on me. I have been at Legacy for about 16 years. As Colin said, I started at Legacy right out of college. I am considered one of the governmental experts at our firm. I have a lot of experience with the Firemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund. I have worked on that audit for almost that entire 16 years in
all different capacities. As a staff member, an in-charge, a manager, and now the concurring audit partner. With all that time, I am familiar with the Fund's staff, the third-parties that we need to work with in tandem to get the audit complete. Just kind of the audit timeline in general and who needs what from who and when.

Next slide, please. Sally Bredberg was the manager on the audit this last year. Sally has been with Legacy for many years now. Her focus is working on employee benefit plans. She is highly skilled in that area. She worked very well with the Fund staff this past year and has developed a good rapport.

Just to get more specific on Sally, one thing in particular, she did an excellent job this past year taking the audit, which is typically done in the field or at the Fund office and converting that to a remote audit, obviously, as a result of the pandemic. She did a great job with that, without sacrificing any quality or efficiency.

Next slide, please.
MR. THOMPSON: Here is a quick summary of the services to be provided. We will perform an
audit of the Financial Statements for December 31, 2020 through 2024. The audit will conform to all other requirements of the Government Accounting Standard Board. All the GASB pronouncements. We may issue a manager recommendation letter, if necessary. We strive to coordinate and consult with the other Fund professionals. We do work hand in hand with the actuaries through all aspects of the engagement and of course with the Fund itself, which is vital to being able to perform an efficient and effective audit and be able to issue within the deadlines that we have.

We also present and attend the trustee meetings to present the annual financial report and we are able to respond to routine questions throughout the year.

We don't bill ever for various phone calls or questions. If something were to ever come up that would requiring billing, we would be upfront and come to an agreement prior to that. We always want to be transparent so you will never get any kind of surprise bill at any point.

We do encourage communication throughout the year. Things do come up. It's good to be able
to bounce it off your auditor. So kind of an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure is the way $I$ look at it.

Next slide, please. Here's our staffing model. Our staffing model is more of a square than a triangle that a lot of other accounting firms have. We have a significant amount of time that you actually get from involvement at the manager and partner level, that be would be myself and Tim and Sally. So you see us higher level individuals a lot more than you see the staffing at lower level people.

That being said, obviously, we make every effort to schedule the same staff from year to year on the engagement.

Also, if there is any type of a change as requested or desired, sometimes clients may require or ask for personnel rotations for various reasons. We do have the capability to rotate or transition partners, managers or other staff on engagement.

Next slide, please.
MR. FAGAN: So $I$ just want to cover kind of give you a brief rundown of what a typical audit entails.

The first half of any audit is to properly plan the audit and we do that using our experience from previous audit years that we have gained auditing the Fund. Also our history and our knowledge of the Fund.

We also then take in any -- we combine any new changes that are coming up. Such as new accounting practices or changes in the Fund's operations. Such as the reinstatement of the retiree health subsidy last year.

We take all of that information to help us to design effective audit procedures. Once a planning phase is done, we will reach out to the Fund staff and start coordinating the audit, the audit timeline, documents that we will need for our procedures and any pre-audit questions.

We will also come up with a date of when the fieldwork should start. Typically the audit fieldwork is done at the Fund office. Like I said earlier, this past year of the pandemic it was done remotely.

Once we do our audit fieldwork and complete that, then the audit goes through its multi-layer reviewed process, which includes the
manager review, the partner review, a second partner review and actually a third partner review which we refer to as the quality control review.

You can see based on that we put a lot of our resources into making sure that the Financial Statements and the audit are high quality and we want that so that you have accurate information to make your decisions off of.

Once the Financial Statements are issued, it is not like we go away and come back next year for the audit. We are available all year. We always have been and we have shown that ability. We are also very quick to respond to questions from the Fund staff, any audit related questions, or anything else we can help with. We take that very seriously. We want to be there to help. We want to be able to help there, get through any roadblocks that the Fund or Fund staff might be having.

MR. SWANSON: Tim, if $I$ could interject just real quick. We appreciate your detailed approach. If we could maybe hit the highlights and wrap this up in the next couple of minutes between you and Colin we would certainly appreciate that.

MR. FAGAN: Sure thing.
Next slide, please.
MR. THOMPSON: Here's a partial client listing. You can see some of our government benefit plans that we audit.

I just wanted to point out here we also do audit 350 employee benefit funds. Government funds are one of those subsets so this is what we do. This is our specialty.

Next slide, please. Here you can see some of the references of service. Please, feel free to reach out to these individuals if you want to inquire as to the type of services we provide.

Next slide, please. This is the fee proposal. I wanted to point out here the fees for FY-20 and FY-21 are the same exact fee as for FY-19. We remain at a flat fee for three years in a row. '22, '23 and '24 we have an inflationary increases proposed of 3 percent.

Down on the bottom is our 2020 standard hourly rates. If additional services are requested, that would be our hourly billing rate for those type of services.

To-date I don't actually recall ever
providing any kind of a bill for any type of hourly services but if something is needed we are always happy to help and we would come to some kind of agreement and those are some of the hourly rates you could see there.

Next slide, please. So some unique features of Legacy. We are always available. Please, feel free to contact myself, Tim, Sally, anybody. We are always a phone call or email away. We would love to answer any questions.

We have a niche focus, like I said, benefit funds are our specialty. This is what we do.

I wanted to point out we are a local firm serving Chicago based clients. We have been here since 1948 so this is where we live. We have always been here and always foresee us being here.

Next slide please. Why Legacy just to sum up here. We are here to meet your needs. We have the experience and a great working relationship with the Fund to continue providing high quality audit services.

Next slide, please. Comments?
Questions? Would anybody like me to go through
anything in further detail? We are always happy to answer any questions you might have.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I do have a question. I was listening to Tim speak and how he mentioned that he started with the firm right out of college. And I thought about that great opportunity that he had and he's been with the firm I think he said for about 16 years now.

MR. FAGAN: That's right.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: That is very
awesome. I also thought about minority students coming from college that certainly would appreciate an opportunity such as that.

I was looking at your diversity numbers and you all have been with the Fire Pension Fund for about 16 years, did you say, Colin?

MR. THOMPSON: With the firm, I have been with the firm --

TRUSTEE CONYEARS-ERVIN: No. Fire
Pension Fund, how long you all have been with the Firemen's Pension Fund?

MR. THOMPSON: I have been working on this particular audit $I$ think 12 years $I$ have been working on this audit.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: 12 years, okay.
Can you talk to me about Legacy's diversity initiatives and hiring? Like you said you hired Tim from college and $I$ am looking at the diversity numbers and $I$ am a little concerned about it. I think $I$ was concerned about it before so we probably had this conversation. Can you refresh my memory?

MR. THOMPSON: We do take a very active approach to try and be a diversive and inclusive fund. We actually have a diversity inclusion seminar actually coming up here for a firm-wide presentation here in December just in a couple of weeks.

We actually also have just established a charitable organization, the Donna Hubert Memorial Scholarship Fund, which provides scholarships to women interested in pursuing careers in accounting. We just actually just established this charity back in 2019 and we just awarded our first scholarship in 2020.

Obviously, there are always challenges in staffing and in particular in the accounting field. We do attempt to do our best to have as diverse of
an employee base as possible. Recruiting and maintaining qualified individuals is particularly challenging in the accounting industry.

A few years ago they increased the time requirements to sit for a CPA to five years so it eliminated roughly half the accounting graduates on a yearly basis so we are constantly having all kinds of recruiting efforts and trying to adequately staff the firm with fresh recruits out of college.

If you know of anybody that wants to join in the accounting field, it is wide open. All the firms, we fight with each other to try to get a hold of good personnel. So, please, encourage anybody.

That is one of our main missions with our own charity fund is to try and get more women into the field also. It is challenging in recruiting.

Yes, I always encourage anybody to go into accounting. You will never want for a job. They are there and you will have people beating your doors down to hire you.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: That is
interesting because -- I won't belabor this point
but for you all to have been with the Fire Pension Fund for this number of years -- and I always ask this question because there is employer and employee contributions.

Employer contributions from the City of Chicago is primarily made up of taxpayer dollars. Taxpayers that look like myself and Clerk Valencia that is on the line, for example.

And I am so very concerned of being a steward of taxpayer dollars when $I$ see a firm come before us, especially having been doing business with us for 12 years, and I see these diversity numbers and sometimes $I$ wonder if $I$ am being a good steward of taxpayer dollars by doing business with firms such as this.

I have to just be honest with you and I struggle with that. So I know that there's -- I am not going to try to go through a tutorial on how to recruit more black and brown accountants. You know that there are accounting societies and organizations all throughout the State of Illinois.

I attend a Christmas party with black accountants each year because of my husband and there is a great number of people in that room that

I am sure can be resources for you. So I know that there is more aggressive things that can be done.

To be honest with you, when $I$ see numbers like this, $I$ say you have to be intentional.

I appreciate the scholarship recipient for 2020. Unfortunately, in 2020 , we're still hearing first ever, right? So there is still some work to be done but thank you.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: I'd like to get staff's thoughts.

MR. SWANSON: First, we will get Marc to remove the presenters and then let us know.

Thank you to Colin and Tim for presenting today.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, everybody, for giving us the opportunity to present our services. As always we are open for any and all questions. Feel free to email or call or any type of contact whatsoever.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank You.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Thank You, Treasurer, for what you said. I just agree. I didn't have anything else to add eloquently. That was polite.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: That took a lot of effort.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Jackie, I am looking for some input from you.

MEMBER MARTIN: I would like to mention I think Legacy has been incredibly helpful in many ways. I know that they have given a lot of themselves and have donated their audit services to the Widow's and Children's Fund just as a note.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Jackie, what is your take on this?

MS. VLAHOS: I just think currently I kind of wish you had more time to do a RFP like maybe in a year or so. Just because currently we just switched from Segal and there was a lot of like bumps in the road because of being a brand new actuary and most likely during this year's audit we are going to be doing it remotely as well.

I think it is something that maybe $I$ feel now that we should maybe stay with Legacy and then maybe revisit this in a few years.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: In a few years?
MS. VLAHOS: Or, I mean, whenever you
suggest. I know we just did a RFP.

Also a suggestion is maybe put some pressure on them to see where they go as far as Legacy in the next couple years.

Obviously, with auditing firms, they do hire new people every year. They have more than optimal time, even if you tell them a year or so, to make sure that they are reaching and meeting their efforts that they are saying that they are going to be doing.

Every year you have to have new staff. It is a high turnover in the auditing industry and public accounting.

If you don't see the numbers changing, then you'd say what they were saying wasn't accurate.

I just think as of right now, even with Segal last year, due to the Covid stuff, it was very hard to get the audit complete and to also try to do training as far as our history, you know, the background of everything that is going on with our Fund since the beginning. It was a challenging year. It doesn't seem like that is going to be changing upcoming. If you have other suggestions?

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: How do you want to do
this, Steve?
MR. SWANSON: Just to reflect on Jackie's comments. We do have a lot of respect for the work of Legacy. They clearly are a good firm. They do a lot of good work for our Fund.

Currently where we stand, with switching actuaries last year and the ongoing pandemic, having to work offsite for much of the audit would present a more challenging environment to get the audit done so that is why we do recommend retaining Legacy for a period of time.

Obviously, we did a RFP. We are asking for a proposal for five years. You can always sign a contract for a period shorter than that.

One thing on the pricing, both firms did the same price for the first two years so your price is the same in those first two years.

Whatever the Trustees think is best, we are prepared to work with.

MEMBER VALENCIA: I just want to speak up, if $I$ can. I didn't realize we were talking about this. I thought there was another person for some reason.

I do want to allow Trustee Holt and

Reshma to weigh in because they are not here on the call. I think it is important to hear their voices, too.

I would defer we do any action today or call a special meeting if we need to to vote on this contract because $I$ want to make sure that they are also incorporated in this conversation.

I am going to just say a couple of things. Diversity inclusion is something that we have been talking about as a board since I have been on the board.

And I want to thank President Fortuna for really listening and hearing, and Steve, too. We brought on Lorna, which was really exciting to have a woman onboard. We have for the first time a real diverse board in terms of a forum of color from the City Trustees which is really exciting. We are really $I$ think ahead of the game than other boards.

The notion that it's hard is not a good enough excuse for me. I get we're in a pandemic. I get it's hard. I am masking up and going into my office. I am going in two or three days. My sellers are there. We are modernizing City Council during the pandemic. We are working with vendors
on a three to five million dollar project to basically go digital during the pandemic.

I understand hard. I understand having to maybe think about coming into the office with the audit team. I understand it may take us a little longer but that is not a good enough reason for us not to give this new company, which is a certified minority owned company that has 42 years of experience of doing this work.

Now if we want to talk about keeping on who we currently have who has had 12 years with the Fund to work on diversity numbers and you're telling me that you are getting your first scholarship fund in 2020 .

And not to mention, if you look at their female and male ratio, there are only five women at the top leadership in their executive team.

To me, they didn't even really have it promoted. I hear the same tired excuses of we are going to get there, we are going to get there, we are going to get there and we never get there.

To what the treasurer said is being intentional about hiring is very important and $I$ just didn't think that they showed that they were
going to be intentional. I don't have the patience to wait another 10 or 12 years to see if they get there.

So I am just calling on our board to be bold and to take a chance on a company that we haven't had to. I think it is healthy for us to expand and diversify our portfolio and who we give work to. If we're going to say it and we're going to talk about it, we have to actually do it.

It's hard and it is not easy. Sometimes you are disappointed but that's not a reason to give up on someone else in the next run.

So $I$ just want to implore all of us to think about that. That for me it being a drain or hard is not a good enough excuse for me.

If they were a bit more expensive, if they didn't have a good record, you know, couldn't do the work, that to me gives me pause and I would agree with all of you to keep who we currently have, but that wasn't the case and that's not what I heard or read in the presentation.

MS. VLAHOS: Can I make one more comment?
The only other concern that happened, and I don't know because I didn't talk with them Steve
did, but there was some kind of mixup with the presentation. They had thought the presentation was Friday, that is kind of my concern a little bit with meeting our deadlines, but they never called us. I am talking about Mitchell Titus. They never called us. They never turned anything in.

When we reached out to them, we were like, hey, we kind of need your presentation. Their response was we thought it was Friday. It was like a little bit concerning that we didn't hear from anybody if you thought it was Friday or received anything or reached out to us.

So it made me kind of feel like do they want our business? I mean Steve can talk a little bit more, he is the one that spoke to them about it.

MEMBER MARTIN: Steve.
MR. SWANSON: There was a little bit of confusion. But, grant it, I mean, maybe that was me not being clear enough with them. I don't want to use it necessarily as a determining factor in this meeting.

MEMBER MARTIN: Anna, just a recommendation. I mean, I not only respect you, I
respect your opinion and your desire to include Trustee Holt and Trustee Soni in the discussion, but I do think that staff makes an excellent point with respect to we have had a lot of turnover with respect to not only staff but we have had a pretty significant turnover with respect to our actuary. And our actuary and our auditor have to work with our staff to provide the level of service and information that we need as a fund.

I would just recommend that maybe for a period of a year or two years, because we don't have to go out five years, just in terms of symmetry with everything that is going on, that maybe extend the contract for a period of two years so staff has the ability to more fully implement the relationship with Segal and that way going forward when we change auditors, if that is desired, at least you are not changing everything within the context of like one year which could be more difficult than necessary and the Fund could still pursue its diversity objectives.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Let me say this, and I can appreciate that, trustee, but who is to say that $I$ will be here in a year or the clerk will
be here in a year or Annette. Who knows what changes may occur, right.

MEMBER MARTIN: Myself in two weeks.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: There you go.

Changes happen all the time. I think that saying wait a year or two even to me it continues to push the can down the road and just not face the real issue at-hand.

I will give an example right now. I am preparing for the City's CAFR and $I$ had to change my Director of Accounting at the most uncomfortable time when $I$ am getting ready to pay for CAFR but what do $I$ do? $I$ just have to role with the change and play the hand that $I$ have been dealt.

I think that we have a very competent staff at the Fire Pension Fund, very. We are talking about a firm that has been in business over 40 years that not only works with another pension fund but works with other City agencies.

Yeah, might it be uncomfortable, maybe so for this first year. It is a pandemic. Probably no matter who you work with is going to be uncomfortable. It is a pandemic.

I think that if we continue to say, well,
let's make it easier for the staff and let's revisit it in a year on two when we don't know who is going to be on the board in a year or two.

Just thinking about the beneficiaries, the annuitants, taxpayers, $I$ just think it is the right thing to do.

If we do need to wait, I am willing to do that a month, next month, you know, for the other members but $I$ just want us to understand that we have a very competent staff. I am not at all worried about being uncomfortable.

MEMBER MARTIN: It is not only competent.
And believe me I applaud everybody for their efforts during this pandemic. Not only the staff, but the trustees. But we also have to note the fact that we have one of the smallest staffs of all of the funds and that we are putting this on them, you know, additional work because of all of the changes in this difficult environment.

I would be comfortable with a year for Legacy. That way we can still develop and make things easier between Legacy and Segal and our staff because that is a lot to put on staff just in one year. And just in my discussions with staff,
it was a big issue with our staff.
I will follow the direction of the Board and respect the Board's opinion. I am ready to go a shorter period of time, if that is what is believed, if necessary. Whatever the Board decides, $I$ am in favor of.

MEMBER VALENCIA: $I$ am in favor of not a year. I can see like a six month transition time. I am not willing to vote for a year. I could see six months and then be willing. I applaud the staff but at this point we are the trustees of the board, too.

MEMBER MARTIN: I agree. Maybe that gives Legacy an additional six months to further be proactive in their diversity --

MEMBER VALENCIA: At that point it won't matter because we are going on to a new vendor so I could care less.

My thought of a six month runway was when I am transitioning to a new vendor from an old vendor so $I$ am signing an extended contract with my old vendor so there is no gap in services.

So if we are extending the contract to insure that we bring on and we can transition
smoothly and there is no gaps and there is no gap for Jackie or Steve or the team, I am fine with that. But $I$ think a year, that's not necessary. I mean, if we're not able to get this work done -- I mean, you would all hate to work in the City Clerk's office because I got people doing three or four jobs for like no pay.

I think it is reasonable if you want to do a six month kind of a gap contract to help transition, but $I$ definitely don't think it needs to be a year.

MR. SWANSON: Jackie, if I may, six months, if we did January through June 30 th, that would get us through the 2020 financials that we are talking about, correct?

MS. VLAHOS: It should. I want to say this isn't really what $I$ was trying to explain my concern is. It is not the fact it is going to make it harder for our jobs. I am just a little bit concerned that if it was so difficult to get the presentation -- and I guess we could see I guess how Police handles it. I know they have them already for a client.

We are already in a pandemic. What I am
saying, when the presentation was very difficult to get a hold of someone and have them return our calls to get the presentation, $I$ am a little concerned with them meeting a deadline during a pandemic. I know the City needs our financials usually by May, that was my concern.

MR. SWANSON: Back to my point, a six month extension with this auditing firm --

MS VLAHOS: Yes.

MR. SWANSON: -- would get us January through June so that would get us through our next audit which is the immediate concern.

MS. VLAHOS: Yes.

MEMBER MARTIN: Then you can revisit it.
MR. SWANSON: Then it can be revisited after that.

MEMBER MCPHILIIPS: When does Legacy's contract end?

MR. SWANSON: We currently don't have one. We have been operating year to year since $I$ have been here so we sign an engagement letter every year with them.

MEMBER MCPHILIIPS: This contract that we are talking about now is for the 2020 Financial

Statements?

MR. SWANSON: Yes, sir.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Okay. Very briefly,
if $I$ can add my comments.

Since $I$ have been here, $I$ was first elected $I$ guess six years ago almost, Legacy has been our auditor. They have been here for 12 years.

Federal law calls for public companies to transition lead auditors every five years. I think it is time for someone new.

I don't care quite as much about the diversity. No offense to the people that do care about it. My primary concern is are they qualified.

I didn't see too many questions about how much of the staff has CPA licenses. Has internal auditing certifications. How long they have been with the firm. Those are kind of more important questions to me.

The issue of Segal being new and now we have possibly Mitchell Titus I mean they are professionals. They are just going to have to deal with it.

Just to remind me, Mary Pat, we need five trustees to vote in order to expend fund resources? In order to grant this, we would need five trustees?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir.
I remind you of the Clerk's request that this matter be continued until December in order to allow Trustee Holt and Trustee Soni to weigh in or to do it by special meeting.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: If we have the five votes, we could just move on.

MEMBER MARTIN: I don't know if there is five. Can $I$ make a motion to defer it until December until you have your five trustees?

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Hold on here because we do have a recommendation to defer from Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I think she made that recommendation with the thought that maybe
that it was truly being pushed that we do not make a change.

If we do go with that recommendation, if that is what we want for six months, $I$ would like to vote on six months with the current -- not revisit but $I$ mean going --

MEMBER VALENCIA: With Mitchell Titus. I agree. A two year contract like Trustee McPhillips said and then we can revisit it with a new board. If you feel they weren't able to do what you guys needed to do in the two-year term, then you can take it up on whether you review or not.

I think it is better to do shorter contracts in today's world so you can keep an update on competitive prices and competitive output. That is what we are doing at the City is shorter contracts.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Okay. Mary Pat, help me with the motion on this.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Before they make the motion can $I$ ask a question? Anna, are you suggesting that we give Legacy a six month extension and then move on to Mitchell Titus?

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes. I think to hear
what the other trustees concerns were and the staff making sure there were no gaps in what was happening there would be a six month transition time on to a new company.

If you don't feel comfortable with that and you just want to go straight with a new contract, $I$ 'm okay with that too, and just go to a straight two-year contract. I was just trying to be compassionate for the staff.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: I get it, yes. Here is my concern with that, $I$ think we need to be cautious. Then we're looking at two separate contracts and how do they look? Is Legacy going to say, hey, we're going to do a majority of the audit work for 2020 and then come June Mitchell Titus says, well, you know, sorry this is what our contract terms are going to be and then the Fund ends up spending substantially more resources for the same service.

MS. BURNS: It is more of a risk profile that you need to be concerned about because of needing to get a responsible party who is responsible for the audit.

Perhaps the motion that the Board could
consider is a motion to enter into a two-year contract with Mitchell Titus subject to contract terms starting on July 1, 2021 and continuing through June 30,2023 . And the effects of that would be that they would takeover after completion of the 2020 Financial Statements are done. And we would just go back to Legacy, as $I$ understand it, that just has an engagement letter with the Fund, that ends when?

MR. SWANSON: It would have run through the presentation of the financials. I can double check it.

MS. BURNS: They are already in place and will complete the 2020 Financial Statements, which are due by June 30 th.

This would be a motion to get Mitchell Titus starting July 1st for the next year's audit and give them notice in the next six months to help smooth the transition. Maybe they could look over Legacy's shoulder, maybe Legacy could help them, so that they are ready to go on July 1st.

MEMBER VALENCIA: They would do the following year's audit?

MS. BURNS: Yes, ma'am.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Legacy would closeout so there was no disruption, basically, and then we would then pickup with the new company in July.

MS. BURNS: Yes, ma'am. What I am
hearing is Legacy's existing agreement contemplates that they would do the 2020 Financial Statements that are due in June.

MEMBER VALENCIA: That would help staff not have a disruption. They can smooth that out and then we'll start anew with a new company.

MS. BURNS: It also gives a chance for a smooth transition between the two firms, too. They can sort out what is happening.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Contractually Legacy is already going to do the 2020 financials, Steve?

MR. SWANSON: I'm sorry, I was not clear on that. We signed the agreement for them to work through June 30 th of $I$ believe 2020 to do the 2019 financials. So the timing of it is they did work into 2020 on our financials which was for fiscal year 2019.

We hadn't agreed with anyone to do fiscal year 2020 as of right now. Correct me if $I$ am wrong, Jackie.

MS. VLAHOS: No. Usually the engagement letter is usually signed some time in January for the upcoming audit.

MS. BURNS: Based on that, you could really get Mitchell Titus in January, 2021 or have two agreements. One with Legacy that would end on June 30, 2021 and Mitchell Titus will start as we said or just have one contract that would go into place January 1st with Mitchell Titus.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: How would the motion read?

MS. BURNS: Let me know what the trustees think they are comfortable doing. Would they like getting Trustee Holt and Trustee Soni to weigh in on this? If that is still an issue, we can put this over until December.

The second option for the Board is to approve Mitchell Titus starting January 1, 2021 and they would have a two-year term.

The third would be as I said to give Legacy one more year and then Mitchell Titus two years.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Tim's point I think though was, if we had both, if we had Legacy
so now we would have to go back and ask Legacy to give us a contract for six months, basically?

MS. BURNS: Yes. I am sure I can get that done.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: We could vote on that now, but if you can't get it then we have to revisit that anyway in a month, right?

MS. BURNS: Yes, ma'am. I am sure they would do it.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: You are sure they would or would not?

MS. BURNS: Would. Legacy would want to complete the audit $I$ am sure to remain on good terms with you.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Okay. Tim, does that answer your question?

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: It does. I would prefer not to kind of split the contract up. I think for 2020 it should go to either Legacy and they are going to finish or it should go to Mitchell Titus.

To clarify the previous comments, Legacy
is ending on good terms with us, if we go with
Mitchell Titus. I thought they have done a great
job.
My concern is, as somebody that has done auditing, 12 years with the same firm is a little too long. I would like some rotation. I think that is prudent and it's good business practice.

I am a little concerned I am hearing maybe there is some concern Mitchell Titus might not be up to the task. We have to address that and be very clear that they are up to the task and that we are going to give them the contract, if that is the route we decide to go.

I don't want them needing help from the previous auditor. There is directly a handoff between auditors. But they don't need any help, they are professionals. They are certified CPA's.

MS. BURNS: That's correct.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I haven't heard that they needed help. I haven't heard that there's a concern with their performance.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: You are right, they are not saying that they are needing help.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Staff is saying that.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Two issues came up.
You are right, they did not say that they needed
help.
Segal is our new auditor and we have this pandemic so logistically it might not be a good time. My argument to that is either they are professionals or they are not. I think they presented themselves as professionals and that $I$ would just move forward on this.

MEMBER VALENCIA: If that is the case, from your perspective, Tim, I mean I think you shined a light on something since you have done this before, it sounds like we should just go ahead and do the two-year agreement with Mitchell Titus in January because you think they can just hit the ground running.

I don't have this expertise but $I$ was going for six months for staff purposes. But I am fine with just voting, if we have the vote today, voting for the January, 2021 contract and giving them a two-year agreement and calling it a day.

If we don't have the vote today, then $I$ do want to go into December because I do think that the other two trustees will want to hear this and have some words to say on it.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: I think that is my
point right on the head.
Just to clarify a little bit, I
understand Jackie's concern because when you get a new auditor that burden is going to fall on her and the staff. I have been in that situation. You get a new auditor, you need to explain everything all over again.

But I like the idea of a new auditor coming in and asking questions for the first time. Looking under the covers and doing things differently. It keeps everyone on their toes.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Agreed.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Is there a motion to defer to December?

MS. BURNS: With all due respect, sir, I think the motion, and maybe we can do a straw poll, would be if there is support for a motion to enter into a contract with Mitchell Titus for the period January 1, 2021 through December 31,2023 subject to contract negotiations.

If the trustees would be willing to just sort of indicate whether they thought they could be in agreement with that, we would be able to assess whether we need to defer this matter or not.

Sir, would you be able to vote for a motion like that?

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Yes.
MS. BURNS: Trustee Murphy?
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
MS. BURNS: I think you have the requisite numbers of votes. Would you entertain a motion to enter into a contract with Mitchell Titus for the period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2023 subject to contract negotiations?

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: So that is the motion.

MS. BURNS: Trustee Valencia, would you
like to make that motion?
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes, $I$ will make the motion.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Just to be clear, that is for two years $I$ think you just stated, right?

MS. BURNS: Two years, sir, that is what the motion was. I'm sorry, through December 31, 2022. Thank you.

MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: I will second that motion.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: There is a motion by

Trustee Valencia. Seconded by Trustee Conyears Ervin.

Trustee Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee
Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.
MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes.
Motion carries. Thank you.
MEMBER MARTIN: Moving to Item 7,
Investments. I will turn this over to Lorna who will walk us through the investment agenda.

MS. SCOTT: Given the time, I thought I would go right to the action item which is Item $7-\mathrm{C}$.

I will move the MWDBE Broker Utilization report to December.

Marc, if you can share the screen for the

November cash needs and then $I$ will turn it over to Jackie.

MS. VLAHOS: As you can see for November our balance, with a combination of Chase and Fidelity, is approximately 5.1 million dollars.

The next line where you see the surplus with Northern Trust of 14.1 , this basically represents that in August we had excess tax receipts and with the discussion with our CIO Lorna we decided that we were going to take the extra 25 million dollars and transfer it into Northern to try to achieve a higher interest rate return until we needed the money.

So from the 25 million dollars we transferred from Northern, in September, we had transferred 4 million of that 25 to cover our benefits and also in October we had transferred 6.9 million to help cover our monthly benefits.

Leaving us with a balance still sitting in Northern of 14.1 million dollars. Our subtotal for cash is going to be the 19.2 million dollars. We're still anticipating an additional 1.85 million dollars of salary contributions before the end of month before we have to pay our benefits. Bringing
us available cash of 21 million dollars.
The next line you are going to see are our estimated amount for our benefit checks as well as outstanding checks, our Death Benefits we are paying this Fund, the Refunds and then our current office payables. Bringing us to anticipated expenditures for November of 32.755 million dollars. Leaving us with a shortage where we are going to have to get additional funds from our investment managers of a negative 11.7 million dollars in deficits that we are going to cover.

If you want to go to the next slide, the next slide shows our cash projections showing that, if you go over to the right-hand side, it shows that beginning balance of cash of 5.1 million dollars. The transfer from the STIFF that we still had sitting from the excess tax receipts of 14.1 and as well as we are going to require 11.9 million dollars from our managers in order to cover our benefits, which is leaving us a balance then in our Chase account of approximately $\$ 243,000$.

I just want to draw to your attention in November, if you look over to the left, the average tax receipts for December is approximately 7.2
million dollars.
Now that is going to fluctuate because sometimes that is the amount that is actually still owed to us by the end of the year. However, a lot of times their reconciliation that we receive from the City, we usually get some of this money more towards the end of January.

Our drawdown for December is going to be anywhere between 19.8 million to probably approximately 25 million, depending on how much we actually receive by the end of the year. Or, obviously, some of that tax receipts might carry over into that January month. Lorna.

MS. SCOTT: If you go to the rebalancing template.

MEMBER MARTIN: Do we need a motion right now to draw down 11.7 million?

MS. SCOTT: Not yet. Let me go to the rebalancing template. This first column shows the allocation as of November 13th. At the bottom of the first column, you can see Northern cash you have 18.2 million. Jackie needs about 25.8 million from that Chase account. What we need to do is raise 9 million dollars.
Looking at that second column in yellow,
we propose raising the cash from the Fund's large
cap gross managers and your core manager.
The plan is to take 3 million from Logan,
3 million from Brown Advisory and 3 million from
Rhumbline.
We will then transfer 25.8 million to
Chase and that leaves us with 1.4 million at
Northern.

Looking at that last column, the variance, that shows the difference versus the policy target after the cash withdrawal. Looking at our asset allocation, we remain very close to target allocated in private equity and that is offset by an over allocation to U.S. equity primarily in small cap. We generally are on target.

Now I am looking for a motion to raise up to 9 million dollars as indicated.

MEMBER MARTIN: I will make that motion consistent with the recommendation of the CIO to liquidate up to 9 million dollars.

MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee

Martin. Seconded by Trustee McPhillips.
Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee

Conyears-Ervin.
MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips.
MEMBER McPHILLIPS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy.
MEMBER MURPHY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And I am a yes.
Motion carries.
MS. SCOTT: That concludes the action items in the Investment portion.

We will move the MWDBE Broker Utilization until next month. We leave the performance reports for self-study and pickup more performance information next month as well.

MEMBER MARTIN: Thanks, Lorna.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank you.
MEMBER MARTIN: Mary Pat, do we move to Legal Update?

MS. BURNS: There really is nothing in the Legal Update. All we really need to do is the Gift Fund before we lose Trustee Conyears-Ervin, if that is alright with you, Trustee Martin.

MEMBER MARTIN: That would be wonderful.
We can move on to Item 11. As always we have prepared materials for the Board's review outlining the recommendation for a payment from the Gift Fund to the EMWQ Retirees, Widows and Children Assistance Fund.

We'd like to get this payment approved today so we can make sure that the Widows and Children Assistant Fund can make the payments to the Widows and Children prior to the holiday.

Any questions? Any discussions?
I would like to get the amount from Jackie for the amount of the transfer, if there needs to be one. We are going to send out approximately $\$ 530,000$ this year.

MS. BURNS: Steve, will update that.
Just so the trustees are comfortable, the material is set forth in your Board portal laying out all the money needed and where it is going.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Do you want an amount
right now?
MR. SWANSON: We have that in the
resolution that Mary Pat referenced. The amount of the transfer is $\$ 319,000$, with a total distribution of $\$ 530,000$. The balance comes from the EMWQ Gift Fund, which raises money throughout the year, which is largely due to the efforts of our Fund's Secretary Trustee Martin. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Thank you. MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Thank you,

Trustee Martin.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Martin, will
you make that motion then?
MEMBER MARTIN: I make that motion. MEMBER MURPHY: Second. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion by Trustee

Martin. Second by Trustee Murphy. Trustee Martin. MEMBER MARTIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Conyears-Ervin. MEMBER CONYEARS-ERVIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee McPhillips. MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Murphy. MEMBER MURPHY: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Trustee Valencia. MEMBER VALENCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: And $I$ am a yes. MEMBER MARTIN: Item 12 , motion to adjourn.

MEMBER MCPHILLIPS: Second.
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Motion to adjourn.
All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN FORTUNA: Opposed?
Hearing none, motion carries.

Everyone have a great Thanksgiving.

MEMBER VALENCIA: Trustee Martin, I just wanted to say before you left thank you for your service and thank you for being a friend and ally on the Board.

When $I$ first came on the Board, you were very helpful in explaining things to me. You got me involved in orphan and widows work and always invited me out to the firehouse to meet the wives and the children so $I$ really cherished those moments and $I$ appreciate you and $I$ wish you well in
whatever you do next.
MEMBER MARTIN: I'd like to say thank you. That is all I can say, thank you.
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